



## A summary of the representations submitted to the independent examiner

Ref no	Name	Summary of Comments
<b>Representations received at Submission consultation stage</b>		
Earswick 001	Bellway Homes	<p>The discouragement of development within Earswick is not consistent with the NPPF and contradicts the efforts to match the projected economic and residential growth targets for both Earswick and the City of York as set out in the Council's emerging Local Plan. The suggestion within the draft Earswick Neighbourhood Plan that small scale residential developments on windfall sites will be sufficient to meet local housing needs is wrong.</p> <p>The land to the 'East of Strensall Road, Earswick' should be allocated within the Earswick Neighbourhood Plan as a site for housing development, or alternatively designated as Safeguarded Land for future development. It is wrong for the emerging Earswick Neighbourhood Plan to prevent any significant housing development in Earswick.</p> <p>The emerging Earswick Neighbourhood Plan needs to support the long term economic sustainability of facilities and services to ensure it remains a sustainable settlement for the convenience of existing and future residents as well as supporting the environment.</p>
Earswick 002	City of York Council	<p>The Council appreciate the amount of hard work and dedication that the Neighbourhood Planning Group has put into this process to produce a locally representative document.</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Plan should continue to apply the approach to the identification of the</p>

		<p>Green Belt as set out currently in the RSS and the Fourth Set of Changes Development Control Local Plan (2005) on an interim basis until such times as the emerging Local Plan is adopted.</p> <p>Supports all policies, but makes the following comments on the policies below:  ENP2: welcome the inclusion of the policy. It is recommended that the Strategic Housing market Assessment evidence base is included.  ENP3: Recommend National Guidance is updated inline with NPPF and reference is made to City of York Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.  ENP6: Support policy but suggest references to Sites of Local Interest are deleted.  ENP6: Suggest altering wording of the policy from 'distinctive views' to 'open character'.</p>
Earswick 003	Coal Authority	No specific comments.
Earswick 004	Highways England	<p>The proposals do not include any specific part of the Strategic Road Network, and the scale of the aspirations outlined in the Neighbourhood Plan are such that it is anticipated at this stage there will not be a detrimental impact on the continued safe operation of the road network under the Highways England jurisdiction – unless significant policy changes in regards to green belt, housing or employment provision occur.</p> <p>No formal comments at this point in specific regard to the Neighbourhood Plan document, looking at the wider picture on behalf of the Secretary of State for Transport, we will be interested to see how this plan contributes to the broader City of York Local Plan aspirations for housing and employment growth, and in particular any development impact which <i>could</i> affect the continued safe operation of the Strategic Road Network in the area i.e. the A64.</p>
Earswick 005	Historic England	Advice was given to the Parish on 5 <sup>th</sup> February 2018. No additional comments or advice necessary at this stage.
Earswick	Earswick	Support the plan.

006	Resident	<p>Would like to see the following incorporated:</p> <p>a) Provision in the planning section 4.1 to restrict the conversion of existing properties to flats or houses of multiple occupancy, as these would be out of character for the area and put strains on issues such as on street car parking.</p> <p>b) In section 4.4, there should be a goal to not just maintain existing public rights of way, but to provide new ones. The parish should aim to provide new public rights of way over the land to the east of the parish to possibly link up to the existing North/South public footpath through Greystones farm. There are a number of existing tracks (Moor lane and tracks from Willow Grove) that could enable this if designated.</p>
Earswick 007	Earswick Resident	Query a Green Belt boundary in the Earswick Parish.
Earswick 008	Earswick Resident	Do not support the submitted Neighbourhood Plan as drafted as it appears to be an attempt to prevent any further reasonable and practical development of the village. The submitted Earswick Neighbourhood Plan should be substantially simplified to be in line with the overall Local Plan – to allow judicious development in keeping with the current nature of the village (size, style and location).
Earswick 009	North Yorkshire County Council	<p>The Neighbourhood Plan area lies adjacent to the A1237 ring road. It would be appropriate to ensure that the plan, including Policy ENP 11 takes account of the strategic transport context, and does not compromise east-west connectivity or access into the city.</p> <p>The River Foss flows through the Parish and is affected by the management of the river upstream. Pressures on the river generated upstream, within the farmed catchment in North Yorkshire, affect the ecological and amenity value of the river as it passes through Earswick Parish. These pressures include sediment and nutrient loads and pesticide residues, resulting primarily from run-off from arable farmland. Undeveloped land vulnerable to flooding along the Foss provides a natural green corridor and the value of this is recognised in Policies ENP3 and ENP5. There is no specific mention of engagement with wider catchment</p>

		management. It would be appropriate to mention this in the plan, and would promote engagement with a wide range of stakeholders.
Earswick 010	Natural England	No specific comments.