

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
General	Support – supportive of the preferred approach to Education.	59/12663	Dunnington Parish Council
	Comment – this cultural investment must be continued & developed – the city heritage is not just its historical buildings.	2416/6662	
	Comment – planning investment on Human Capital - Youth! Very Crucial! What plan is in place in terms of providing access for youth to improve their skills and integrate in the job/business market?	2662/7049	
	Support – supportive of the preferred approach.	3356/8577	
	Comment – why is the law college sited where it is and not part of the York university?	6497/16239	
	Support – agree with the preferred approach.	6508/17679	City Of York Council Conservative Group
	Support – agree with the preferred approach.	6519/16481	Cllr Jenny Brooks

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy EST1 Preschool, Primary And Secondary Education	Objection – should include provision for environmental education in the development of new education establishment and not solely focus on the allocation of green space for sports activities.	90/12841	Friends of the Earth (York and Ryedale)
	Comment – this section should make reference to children’s play. Quote from city’s play policy “Taking Play forward 2010-2013” could be included in the context of this section.	387/14202	Active York
	Objection – to require new development to address existing deficiencies in school playing fields provision would appear to be “ultra vires” and not in accordance with the Community Infrastructure Levy regulations. Any reference to obligations on new development addressing existing deficiencies should therefore be removed.	434/16576	Associated British Foods plc
	Comment – infrastructure regarding roads, local centres, schools and shopping need clarification but the Northern Ring Road should be moved ahead of other proposals as the density of traffic at present is unacceptable.	703/17056	
	Comment - need to know much more about schools systems. If these are developed, good.	973/229	
	Support – supportive of the preferred approach to Education. Agree with local policy to guide the development of education facilities and the provision of generic local criteria to guide education provision and accessibility in relation to new development. Objection – the Plan should safeguard farmland behind Dunnington School for longer term expansion of the school or the provision of other compatible community facilities.	1457/17416	
	Comment – when considering the approach to Community Infrastructure Levy, care will need to be taken to ensure that developers are not charged twice for on-site and off-site provision.	1523/17506	Commercial Estates Group, Hallam Land Management & T W Fields Ltd
	Objection – do not agree with preferred approach, the plan should rely on the NPPF to guide development of Education Facilities. The approach should either rely on NPPF or provide generic local criteria to guide education provision and accessibility in relation to new development.	2846/7553	
	Comment – Manor CE Academy will need to expand.	3004/7832	
	Comment – the schools are now full and have no space.	3206/8250	
Comment – absolutely no mention in this Local Plan on how our schools, hospitals, roads, libraries, civil communities, water/sewerage supplies etc will cope with the huge influx of new homeowners.	3246/8335		
Comment – what about school provision –has there not just been a loss of a secondary school?	3902/10442		

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy EST1 Preschool, Primary And Secondary Education Continued	Comment –no mention of education in this plan to speak of with regards to Haxby residents, with the exception of “Further and Higher Educational Establishments”.	4253/11066	
	Support – agree with the provision of generic local criteria to guide education provision and accessibility in relation to new development. Comment – the Plan should safeguard farmland behind Dunnington School for longer term expansion of the school or the provision of other compatible community facilities.	5178/12358	
	Comment – given the proposed large increase in housing with specific sites allocated, don’t see much in the way of thoughts or comment about how existing schools will cope with the resulting rise in demand or about where new schools might be needed and sited.	5222/12492	
	Comment – regret that there are no plans in place for the improvement to the life style of residents present and future in the terms of schooling, community facilities and open spaces.	5238/12566	
	Comment – why close Burnholme when Derwentthorpe in progress and you propose so much more near Metcalfe Lane?	5740/13534	
	Support – importance of design and modifications that allow community access to facilities but this should not be restricted only to areas where there are deficiencies in community leisure. Comment – not evident how the increased school age population will be addressed before submission of the final plan.	6518/16405	York Green Party

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy EST2 Further And Higher Education	Support – policy and supporting text are welcomed.	38/12921	York St John University
	Comment – comparison can be drawn with the University Campus 3 in respect of the treatment of the College in the Local Plan. Askham Bryan College shares many characteristics with the University. Pleasant living and social conditions contribute to student welfare and educational performance. Equally, the character of the Askham Bryan Campus with open spaces permeating through and surrounding the buildings is one of the features of the College which attracts potential students & the many organisations which hire space on Campus in the holidays. Objection – envisage a policy specific to the College which would guide the type, form and location of new development within the settlement limit.	261/15016	Askham Bryan College
	Comment – question the York College boundaries on the plan: why is the boundary horizontal to the building and not a vertical line following the actual line of the main building? A further observation is that there is an existing building in front of the main building that does not seem to have been taken into consideration (the recently closed Nursery) in relation to the line adopted.	1779/9877	York College
	Objection – do not agree with preferred approach, the plan should rely on the NPPF to guide development of education facilities. Comment – the preferred approach should either rely on NPPF or provide generic local criteria to guide education provision and accessibility in relation to new development.	2846/7554	
	Comment – absolutely no mention in this Local Plan on how our schools, hospitals, roads, libraries, civil communities, water/sewerage supplies etc will cope with the huge influx of new homeowners.	3246/8336	
	Comment –no mention of education in this plan, to speak of with regards to Haxby residents, with the exception of “Further and Higher Educational Establishments”.	4253/11067	
	Support – agree with the provision of generic local criteria to guide education provision and accessibility in relation to new development.	5178/12359	
	Support – this policy.	6518/16406	York Green Party

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy EST3 Community Access To Sports And Cultural Facilities On Education Sites	<p>Objection – this policy is out of step with the current university funding regime. The Universities main source of income, tuition fees now flow directly from students and not from public sector sources. Universities whilst remaining publically accountable are not public sector funded. Universities must clearly demonstrate to their students that they are not only gaining from their education but they are being taught in and have available for their enjoyment facilities that demonstrate value for money. A university needs to be able to offer excellent indoor and outdoor sporting facilities, not just for its sporting scholars but for the whole of its student community. University facilities must therefore optimise access for their own students, and whilst spare capacity can be offered to community teams (at commercial rates). Universities can play a lead role in encouraging sports participation because students represent a willing and talented body of volunteers who can facilitate events. Suggest that the final sentence be amended to read: ‘YSJU are in the process of creating...’</p>	38/12922	York St John University
	Comment – integrating theatre into education is important.	299/14147	The Theatres Trust
	Support – supportive of Policy EST3.	349/14193	Sport England
	Comment – in addition this policy should make it clear that facilities should be accessible and operated in a way that attracts community users.	387/14203	Active York
	Support – this policy.	6518/16407	York Green Party

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training	Objection - recommend the policy be deleted. Training schemes through Section 106 Agreements does not comply with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations. Takes no account of operating costs and practicalities for individual developers and sites. The appropriateness of recruiting 'locally sourced' trainees should be a matter for each developer, not a mandatory planning requirement. It does not appear realistic that such a requirement would fulfil the legal tests for section 106 agreements. In addition the current viability assessment does not asses the viability implications of this policy.	145/13873	Home Builders Federation
	Objection – requirement in Policy EST4 that any scheme with a likely construction cost of £1 million will be expected to enter a Section 106 Agreement to ensure, training opportunities are provided and labour is locally sourced. This part of the Policy does not take account of such matters such as operating costs, industry, employment norms and site specific issues. Not aware of any evidence that demonstrates the local labour force is being discriminated against by the construction industry. The majority of our site labour is sub-contracted to ensure the variety of skills are provided as and when necessary. It can be difficult for a developer to impose employment practices on a sub-contractor. Therefore cannot object to providing training and recruiting 'locally sourced' because we are already doing it. But this should not become an unnecessary requirement to be included in a S106 Agreement that achieves nothing. It is a matter already being dealt with by the development industry. Suggest deletion of the following wording in Policy EST4: "Planning applications for schemes with a likely construction cost of £1million and above will be subject to an assessment to consider the skills and training requirements in the construction of the project against the local labour market. Applicants will be expected to enter into a Section 106 Agreement to ensure, where feasible and viable, training opportunities are provided and labour is locally sourced".	659/15084	Persimmon Homes
	Objection – recommend the policy be deleted. Training schemes through Section 106 Agreements does not comply with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations. Takes no account of operating costs and practicalities for individual developers and sites. The appropriateness of recruiting 'locally sourced' trainees should be a matter for each developer, not a mandatory planning requirement. It does not appear realistic that such a requirement would fulfil the legal tests for section 106 agreements In addition the current viability assessment does not asses the viability implications of this policy.	673/16853	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Support – agree with requiring only developments with a cost of £1 million or more to provide skills and training opportunities, on or off site.	1457/17417	
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Comment – would be useful to make a reference to the commitment to encourage the construction sector to engage with the local community and support the training of the current and future construction sector workforce by being part of the YorCity Construction project whilst on site in York. This is something which the City Council has continued to support and has now been piloted at the West Offices development and Heslington East and West. Currently there is work to involve students in Public Art Strategy as part of the Monks Cross Vanguard project. Construction companies have proved to be very supportive but it is essential to keep the expectation there so that they can naturally continue to support.	1511/17464	Higher York
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Objection – recommend the policy be deleted. Training schemes through Section 106 Agreements does not comply with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations. Takes no account of operating costs and practicalities for individual developers and sites. The appropriateness of recruiting 'locally sourced' trainees should be a matter for each developer, not a mandatory planning requirement. It does not appear realistic that such a requirement would fulfil the legal tests for section 106 agreements. In addition the current viability assessment does not assess the viability implications of this policy.	1514/17484	Monks Cross North Consortium
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Objection – query where the evidence is to justify this policy requirement.	1705/9789	Gladman Developments
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Objection – do not agree with preferred approach. All new developments should contribute to skills and training.	2846/7555	
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Objection – do not consider the requirement in policy EST4 to be sound and recommend the policy be deleted. Training schemes through Section 106 Agreements does not comply with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations. Takes no account of operating costs and practicalities for individual developers and sites. The appropriateness of recruiting 'locally sourced' trainees should be a matter for each developer, not a mandatory planning requirement.	6351/17639	Gladedale Estates
Policy EST4 Targeted Recruitment And Training Continued	Comment – final paragraph should state that applicants will be expected to enter into a Section 106 or other agreements that identifies training opportunities and local recruitment processes in respect of appropriate positions within the project. (Advice of the Economic Development section can be offered on relevant procurement policies that encourage the recruitment and training of local labour).	6518/16408	York Green Party

York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses
Section 14: Education, Skills & Training Continued

April 2014

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Question 14.01	Support – note that the plan will continue to support the development of certain sites within the Green Belt and recognise that certain facilities have already taken a long term approach to their drainage solutions as opposed to a piecemeal development to development. This should be encouraged with other facilities to ensure any development is not inhibited and that continued development is appropriate.	190/13968	York Consortium of Drainage Boards
	Comment – the preferred approach to education should be undertaken i.e. local policy.	943/16960	
	Support - excellent section agree with all preferred options. Proposals for additional educational facilities are vague.	1109/17203	
	Comment – support the preferred approach, but emphasise that green jobs in sustainable building design and the low-carbon industries should be specifically targeted, given the amount of new eco-building planned.	1665/12959	York Environment Forum
	Support – supportive of the preferred approach to education, skills and training.	1736/9828	Oakgate Group PLC