

<b>Response Name/Number</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Copmanthorpe Analysis</b>	<p>A tick box Residents Survey with a series of statements which residents indicated support for some or all statements. Residents were asked to indicate their objection to Local Plan proposals for Copmanthorpe on the following grounds: There is no economic justification for the number of new houses proposed (Policy SS2), it does not meet the objective derived from the city's Sustainable Community Strategy (Policy SS2), the infrastructure in Copmanthorpe cannot cope with so many additional residents and improvements must be completed before any additional development (drainage, sewerage, roads, school, health and welfare facilities, water supply) (Sites ST12, ST13, H28, H40, H43, SF5), building on prime agricultural land reduces the UK's capacity to grow our own food and leads to higher prices (Policy SS2), it fails to protect the environment or conserve York's heritage and green infrastructure (Policy SS2), brownfield sites must be fully developed before any Greenfield sites are even considered (Policy SS2) and the proposed site for a wind farm was rejected less than a year ago by the Council, the situation has not changed (Policy CC1). Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.</p>
<b>1</b>	<p>Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. It is in an area of Green Belt. There are not any exceptional circumstances that would justify the use of the site as a Gypsy and Traveller site. National Policy states that brownfield sites should be considered first. Have such sites been considered? The Authority has refused planning permission for several proposed housing developments in this area in recent years. In terms of consistency in applying policies relating to the green belt seems odd that this current proposal is being made. Unavoidable that a development of this nature and scale will impact on the local natural environment. Surprised at proposals given that the site adjoins Hassacarr Nature Reserve which is a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation and is home to protected and/or rare species such as the Water Vole and Great Crested Newt. Traffic safety issues to consider. Increases in the volume of traffic and the nature of traffic (heavy vehicles and trailers) is likely to increase risk of accidents at already dangerous junctions. Specific increased risk of traffic accidents for children crossing the road to use the village playground and/or sports centre, including children from the proposed gypsy and traveller site. Planning Authorities are required to show that they have used robust evidence, unaware of the evidence on which the Local Plan has been based.</p>
<b>2</b>	<p>Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. It is in an area of Green Belt. There are not any exceptional circumstances that would justify the use of the site as a Gypsy and Traveller site. The proposed site is in a very prominent position. Public authorities have a duty to conserve biodiversity. Water Voles are found at Hassacarr Nature Reserve adjacent to the proposed site which would inevitably threaten their habitat. The presence of protected species must be a material consideration when planning development, Water Voles and Great Crested Newts are two such species that can be found at Hassacarr Nature Reserve which borders the proposed site. Reports suggest that a number of Gypsy and travellers wish to move into housing, this has not been taken into account when calculating the need for new sites.</p>
<b>3</b>	<p>Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. It is in an area of Green Belt. The area was designated as a buffer between the industrial site at Chessington Park and the domestic houses on the opposite side of the field, to ignore this now would need to question the integrity of the planning process. Dunnington Sports and Social Club have requested the use of this land for car parking, which was rejected because of poor access, this should also be applicable</p>

<b>Response Name/Number</b>	<b>Comments</b>
	to gypsies and travellers. Only the City of York Council appear to think that another site is needed off the Hull Road. Is another large site needed when reports suggest that a large number of gypsies and travellers are seeking housing accommodation? Provision for Gypsies and Travellers in York is already in excess of provision in Leeds and Kirklees put together. The East Riding Accommodation Assessment reported no need for additional sites this side of York, more communication required with East Riding. Visual effect of the site an issue in such an open site in the heart of Dunnington’s community life. Concern over road safety. The presence of protected species must be a material consideration when planning development, Water Voles and Great Crested Newts are two such species that can be found at Hassacarr Nature Reserve which borders the proposed site.
<b>4</b>	Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. It is in an area of Green Belt. A number of applications to develop this area have already been refused on Green Belt grounds. There will be Brownfield sites which are acceptable to house travellers. The area is subject to flooding. Road safety concerns, an increase of cars and vans in the area is not acceptable. Would harm views of the properties looking over the proposed site. Would harm protected species in the area which makes the site unsuitable.
<b>5</b>	Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. Concern over the impact the development would have on the community. Concern that there would be lack of integration between the gypsy and travellers and the residents of Dunnington. Brownfield sites would be more suited. The proximity to residential properties is of concern. Flooding is an issue. Concern of the impact such a development would have on the visual aspect of the village. Road traffic and insufficient parking is of concern, this will pose safety issues to children and the elderly, more vehicles will only elevate the issue. Access to the site would be an issue for caravans and large vehicles. Hassacarr Nature Reserve should be better protected, there are many protected plants, birds and wildlife in the reserve.
<b>6</b>	Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. It is in an area of Green Belt. There are not any exceptional circumstances that would justify the use of the site as a Gypsy and Traveller site. National Policy states that brownfield sites should be considered first. Have such sites been considered? The Authority has refused planning permission for several proposed housing developments in this area in recent years. In terms of consistency in applying policies relating to the green belt seems odd that this current proposal is being made. Surprised at proposals given that the site adjoins Hassacarr Nature Reserve which is a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation and is home to protected and/or rare species such as the Water Vole and Great Crested Newt. Traffic safety issues to consider. Increases in the volume of traffic and the nature of traffic (heavy vehicles and trailers) is likely to increase risk of accidents at already dangerous junctions. Specific increased risk of traffic accidents for children crossing the road to use the village playground and/or sports centre, including children from the proposed gypsy and traveller site.
<b>7</b>	Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. It is in an area of Green Belt. There are not any exceptional circumstances that would justify the use of the site as a Gypsy and Traveller site. National Policy states that brownfield sites should be considered first. Have such sites been considered? The Authority has refused planning permission for several proposed housing developments in this area in recent years. In terms of consistency in applying policies relating to the green belt seems odd that this current proposal is being made. Surprised at proposals given that the site adjoins Hassacarr Nature Reserve which is a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation and is home to protected and/or rare

**York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses  
Template Responses**

**April 2014**

<b>Response Name/Number</b>	<b>Comments</b>
	species such as the Water Vole and Great Crested Newt. Traffic safety issues to consider. Increases in the volume of traffic and the nature of traffic (heavy vehicles and trailers) is likely to increase risk of accidents at already dangerous junctions. Specific increased risk of traffic accidents for children crossing the road to use the village playground and/or sports centre, including children from the proposed gypsy and traveller site.
<b>8</b>	Objection – opposed to Policy ACHM3. Dunnington Site. Object on the following grounds: Hassacarr Nature Reserve, proximity to housing, proximity to high quality play area, proximity to sports centre, proximity to high quality industrial businesses, key site for drainage, pollution site for sewerage, ignores need for off-road parking, ignores need for sports field expansion, must retain site for openness and greenbelt status, site must remain as a buffer between housing and industrial, most of the site is in the floodplain, screening of the travellers site would totally enclose a large proportion of open area and the processing done by the Council was not totally in accordance with the stipulated standards defined by government.
<b>9</b>	A tick box Residents Survey with a series of sites/statements which residents indicated support or objection to. Residents were asked to indicate support for the proposal for new open spaces and preservation of the Green Belt, more specifically sites OS1 Land North West of Manor Shchool, OS3 Land to North of Poppleton Juniors Millfield Lane, and Section 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2012). Residents were also asked to indicate objection to the proposals for housing allocations and employment/safe guarded land at the following sites – ST2 Former Civil Service Sports Ground Millfield Lane, H36 Land at Blairgowerie (development within a conservation area), H45 Land adjacent 131 Long ridge Lane Upper Poppleton (overdevelopment), SF19 and ST8 Northminster Business Park (total 110 acres equivalent to 100 football pitches) and to ACHM3 and the provision of plots for Showpeople at Wetherby Road Knapton. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well as views on the tick box exercise where provided.
<b>10A</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Dunnington. Against the Travellers site in Dunnington. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10B</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Haxby and Wigginton. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10C</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Rural West York. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10D</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Woodthorpe. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10E</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Wheldrake. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well

**York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses  
Template Responses**

**April 2014**

<b>Response Name/Number</b>	<b>Comments</b>
	where provided.
<b>10F</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Skelton. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10G</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Huntington. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10H</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Strensall. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10I</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10J</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Clifton Without. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10K</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Elvington. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10L</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Fulford. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10M</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Rawcliffe. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10N</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Osbaldwick. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>10O</b>	Objection – Opposed to SS2. Support instead the protection of the Green Belt in Earswick. Residents were also able to provide additional comments on the form which have been included in our summaries as well where provided.
<b>11</b>	A tick box Residents Survey with a series of questions which residents indicated support or objection to. The relevant policy is highlighted after each question. Questions - The Council has proposed the building of 1,400 houses a year in York. Do you think this is deliverable and sustainable? (Policy SS2 and H1). The Council believes 1,000 jobs will be created in York each year, do you think this is realistic (Policy SS2). Do you support the retention of the existing retail hierarchy of City Centre, District and Local Centres? (Policy R1). Do you believe the Local Plan has given enough

**York Local Plan Preferred Options – Summary Of Responses  
Template Responses**

**April 2014**

<b>Response Name/Number</b>	<b>Comments</b>
	provision for infrastructure, such as transport improvements? (Policy IDC1). Do you agree with the proposals to build on 2,400 acres of Greenbelt land? (Policy SS2). Do you support the building of 40 wind farms across York? (Policy CC1). Do you agree with the building of sites for Travellers and Showpeople on Greenbelt land? (Policy ACHM3).