

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Appendix 1 General Comments	Objection – do not agree that criteria 4 should be divided and access to Transport separated from facilities and services. Consider that this should be treated as a whole. This site selection does not seem to take account of the defined settlement limits as agreed with CYC Planning for the Third Set of Changes, the draft Green Belt agreement with West Riding County Council in the 1970’s, protection of the Green Belt provision as objectives previously laid down by yourselves, the area preventing coalescence with City of York by retaining a physical separation of the two settlements and Poppleton rural setting previously its individual identity. Notes that on Figure A1.2 York’s Green Belt Character Areas (2013) an area retaining the rural setting has been included roughly between A59 and Knapton Village and the A1237 and Beckfield Lane.	71/18975	Nether Poppleton Parish Council
	Objection – although A1.4 states that ‘...the minimum scoring system was applied to ensure the most sustainable sites were selected for consideration’ Strensall is a linear village and it is unlikely that the minimum criteria can be met for any site in Strensall to have access to facilities.	77/18579	Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council
	Objection – do not agree that criteria 4 should be divided and access to Transport separated from facilities and services. Consider that this should be treated as a whole. This site selection does not seem to take account of the defined settlement limits as agreed with CYC Planning for the Third Set of Changes, the draft Green Belt agreement with West Riding County Council in the 1970’s, protection of the Green Belt provision as objectives previously laid down by yourselves, the area preventing coalescence with City of York by retaining a physical separation of the two settlements and Poppleton rural setting previously its individual identity. Notes that on Figure A1.2 York’s Green Belt Character Areas (2013) an area retaining the rural setting has been included roughly between A59 and Knapton Village and the A1237 and Beckfield Lane.	78/19013	Upper Poppleton Parish Council
	Objection –the site selection process only appears to consider five criteria. GIS data on areas of unstable land due to former coal mining activity is available to the City of York. The most recent GIS dataset has not been downloaded. There is another dataset scheduled for release for later in the month/ early August. Recommended that the issue of unstable land due to former coal mining activity be fully considered prior to the final site selection being made. It is important that the latest data set is used to ensure that the policy and allocations are drawn from a robust evidence base. This will ensure that site allocations have been based on the latest data set available and therefore ensure that new development will be safe and stable in accordance with	348/18525	The Coal Authority

York Local Plan Further Sites Consultation – Summary Of Responses
Appendix 1: Residential and Employment Site Selection Methodology

November 2015

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	the NPPF and NPPG.		
Appendix 1 General Comments (continued)	Objection – the chosen distances from various facilities (400m, 800m, over 800m etc are somewhat arbitrary and are not particularly meaningful.	534/19489	DPP One
	Comment - every single site within the shortlisted sites assessment has been given for a maximum 4 for economic development. This demonstrates that no objective assessment has been made to establish which sites are more or less economically attractive, and would suggest that scoring of '4' has been used to improve the rating of all shortlisted sites.	5152/19702	
	Objection – assessment methodology used to assess sites on Criteria 4 is ultimately flawed. The decision to use multiple locations across the various sites for proximity assessments provides a false positive. The correct approach would be to either use a fixed point, most sensibly the centre of the site, or to analyse what percentage of the site falls within the required distances as other local authorities have done.	7313/18712	Cllr Nigel Ayre on behalf of Heworth Without Ward
	Comment – unclear about criteria 4a and distance to residential services. Query whether it is a straight line distance in metres, between a potential site and the residential service, or actual distance walked. Query where the distances are measured from. The two nearest points of both the site and the services, or centre of the site to centre of the service.	9773/20227	
	Comment - transport, facilities and services should be treated as a whole. The methodology does not seem to take account of the defined settlement limits as agreed with CYC Planning for the Third Set of Changes; the draft green belt agreement with East Riding County Council; protection of the green belt provision as the objectives previously laid down by yourselves; the area preventing coalescence with City of York; Poppleton's rural setting.	10734/19906	