

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development | Objection – current land within the York Green Belt should not be developed when brownfield sites are available. | 77/ | Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council |
| | Objection – loss of ‘green field’ sites to development is likely to damage the setting of the city, result in loss of habitat for wildlife and amenity for people and remove land from food production increasing threats to national food security in a nation already unable to feed its population from its own land resources. Allocating farmland for other purposes is thus seriously irresponsible. York is reputed to have the highest store of brown field land of any city in Europe. A less bullish and more considered approach to ‘growth’ could enable York’s inherent growth needs to be met with minimal demands on green sites. The development hierarchy of brown field sites before green should be adhered to. | 122/ | Knapton Lane Residents Association |
| | Comment –brownfield sites should be developed first and the destruction of the Green Belt. | 549/ | |
| | Comment – suggest that brownfield development areas around York should be exhausted before any development of the Green Belt takes place. | 551/ | |
| | Objection – opposed to any building on Green Belt land. Any development should be concentrated on brownfield land. The surrounding countryside is beautiful. Need to growing our own food more, preserving agricultural land and not relying on imports. We need land to produce food and for the welfare of animals. | 989/ | |
| | Objection – there is little point in a Green Belt if sites are allowed to be developed. | 1150/23790 | |
| | Objection – Council has failed to properly prioritise brownfield development over greenfield and Green Belt and the brownfield sites that are being put forward are being underutilised for housing. York has one of the largest acreages of city centre brownfield land in Europe and too much of this land is being allocated for employment and other uses, rather than much needed housing. By doing so, the Council are pushing more of the housing burden onto the Green Belt. This is in direct contravention of one of the key purposes of the Green Belt, which is to promote urban regeneration. This has not been rectified within the Further Sites document. | 1355/ | Julian Sturdy MP |
| | Comment - there is a need to exhaust brownfield sites before considering sites in areas where the infrastructure can sustain development. | 1392/ | |
| | Objection – all sites proposed to date in the Local Plan exercise have been located in Green Belt area, with non on a suitable brownfield site. | 1666/ | |
| | Objection – queried what has happened to the brownfield first approach. The government’s advice has been ignored. | 1946/ | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Objection – brownfield development should be exhausted before any development on Green Belt land. | 2342/ | |
| | Comment - brownfield sites must be used first and the Green Belt should be left alone otherwise the need for new housing will be allowed to override all other considerations. | 2473/ | |
| | Comment- brownfield sites have not been exhausted and housing in the city centre. | 2586/ | |
| | Objection- all available brownfield sites should be utilised before encroaching on Green Belt land that is valuable for agriculture. | 2631/23820 | |
| | Comment- the first priority in York is to use the many brown field sites not Green Belt which produces food for the UN and saves from imports. | 2701/ | |
| | Comment- brownfield sites should be used before destroying the countryside | 2702/ | |
| | Objection- opposed to the building on Green Belt land before utilising brownfield land. | 2756/ | |
| | Comment – no building should be allowed on Green Belt land. There are still large areas of brownfield sites far more suitable for housing. All these should be exhausted. | 2763/ | |
| | Comment – there are brownfield sites within York city centre and surrounding villages including Dunnington for all of York’s housing needs. It seems the city council are just going for the easy cheaper options with no thought for the long term future | 2903/ | |
| | Objection – inadequate regard is paid to the adverse effect of the encroachment on Green Belt land. | 3135/ | |
| | Objection – should not be using Green Belt area for housing. Brownfield sites within the city should be used before any Green Belt land. | 3162/ | |
| | Comment – in fifty years land for food production will be needed and this badly drafted plan for 15 years wants to give it away. No agricultural land should be “ear marked” “safe guarded for development” or even thought to include for any use other than farming and food production whilst brownfield, partially developed, redundant sites or under utilised sites are available. | 3378/ | |
| | Objection – although a key government priority, the Plan fails to encourage the effective use of brownfield sites, of which York has plenty. | 3428/ | Skelton Village Action Group |
| | Objection - to encroach on this Green Belt is to go against the stated definition of Green Belt in the councils own policy document and is unjustified. There are many brownfield sites that should be developed before encroaching on the surrounding Green Belt. | 3799/ | |
| Objection – the council should concentrate on brownfield sites even if these are expensive to develop. Building within the city would also reduce travelling time, reducing need for roads, cycleways, public transport and causes of pollution. | 3893/21694 | | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Objection – all York’s brownfield sites should be considered before building on Green Belt. | 3902/23932 | |
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment - all brown field sites should be utilised. | 4096/ | |
| | Objection - the loss of some 2000 acres of Green Belt would appear to override the need to consider the Government's own imperative for the prior use of brownfield sites. It is neither environmentally friendly and fails to respect York's special character. | 4275/ | |
| | Comment - if more housing is needed in addition to sites already under construction, and the proposal to convert offices on Clifton Moor into houses which are not included in the plan, should be built on brownfield sites first. | 4301/ | |
| | Comment –there was a lot of feed back to the last consultation in favour of prioritising brownfield sites (e.g. between New Warswick and Nestle) and to generally help York’s regeneration. Queried why these sites are not in the Further Sites Consultation. | 4379/ | |
| | Comment – there is no sustainable reason for loosing any more greenfield land to development when there are so many brownfield sites available in and around York. The hope that these brownfield sites will be taken up by businesses re-locating to York is just a whim. The unused brownfield sites at York Centre Tear Drop site, the Rawcliffe Grain store site at Water lane, the old Vickers Instruments site of Haxby Road, the Hungate site and the land recently cleared at Nestle would give more than enough capacity for the natural and sustainable housing needs of York. | 4391/ | |
| | Objection- there should be no housing development on greenfield sites anywhere in York or surroundings. | 4437/ | |
| | Objection – opposed to the development of Green Belt land when alternative sites in York city centre have not been exhausted. Several sites - eyesores for over forty years should be considered as potential sites i.e. Piccadilly, The Stonebow, The Barbican, and central York next to the station and now York Law College site and land next to Terry’s factory. | 4823/ | |
| | Comment - further homes needed should be built on brownfield sites. | 5135/ | |
| | Objection – the reality is that none of the large brownfield sites within the city are being developed to their capacity; that developer-led building carried out does little to support the environment – there are no large green spaces for residents; no trees planted to break up building outlines or provide shade for the future global warming; and, finally, that these documents demonstrate scant regard for the concept of a Green Belt. | 5189/ | |
| | Objection - totally disagree with building on greenfield sites until all brownfield sites have been used up | 5194/ | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment -brownfield sites need to be built on before taking over green field sites which Moor Lane is | 5197/ | |
| | Objection - loss of Green Belt land is a major concern. Planning policy nationally is that brown field sites must be developed before green field is even considered, as re-confirmed by the Government only this week | 5259/ | |
| | Comment – there should not be any land safeguarding in the Local Plan until all brownfield sites have been developed, duelling of the outer ring road and extensive infrastructure upgrades in the areas of development. | 5274/ | |
| | Comment – within the wider York area, there remain plenty of brownfield sites that can be targeted for objection. | 5288/ | |
| | Comment - brownfield sites should be used first. | 5429/ | |
| | Objection - opposed to the use of Green Belt land for the building of houses before brown field sites have been used. | 5528/ | |
| | Comment – would like to see full plans for brownfield sites being used fully first. | 5634/ | |
| | Comment - remove all the obstacles to development on brownfield sites to limit development on important green spaces. Use of brownfield sites and regeneration of existing housing estates before destroy Green Belt spaces. | 5673/ | |
| | Comment – brownfield sites and under occupied buildings in the city centre should be priority rather than taking valuable food production areas out of use for concrete. | 5686/ | |
| | Objection –there are plenty of brownfield sites in York, yet Earswick are threatened with land grab from the Green Belt. | 5834/ | |
| | Comment - the continued development of Green Belt is damaging the character of rural York. Brownfield sites should be considered before Green Belt. | 5878/20970 | |
| | Comment – no building on green belt land. Brownfield development must be exhausted before even thinking about developing green belt land. | 5968/ | |
| | Comment – brownfield sites should be developed first. | 5998/ | |
| | Objection – understand need to build some houses on Green Belt but not when there are so many brownfield sites in York. York has the largest amount of brownfield sites in the UK. Government national guidance is to maximise brownfield sites before Green Belt. | 6217/ | |
| | Objection – brownfield used rather than Green Belt. | 6502/ | |
| | Objection – brownfield used rather than Green Belt. | 6503/ | |
| Comment – the council must ensure that it is more proactive in building on brownfield sites ahead of any other. Over the years, it has been proven to have been inflexible with affordable housing percentage targets and it must now refocus to bring forward | 6514/ | Cllr Paul Doughty, Conservative Councillor for | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | housing on brownfield first, most of which is much closer to the City and will be less reliant on the car. | | Strensall Ward |
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment – brownfield sites should be the very first priority for any new development and greenfield sites should be only used where absolutely necessary. | 6522/ | Haxby & Wigginton Ward Liberal Democrat Councillors and Haxby & Wigginton Liberal Democrats |
| | Objection – greenfield development should not be considered before all available brownfield sites have been developed. | 6834/ | |
| | Objection- brownfield sites should be used instead of greenfield. | 7045/24078 | |
| | Objection – brownfield should continue to be exploited where housing development is genuinely needed. | 7063/ | |
| | Objection – more emphasis on building on local brownfield sites is a more worthwhile proposition. | 7251/24083 | |
| | Comment – before any Green Belt is allocated any and all brownfield sites must be used first. This includes all the empty properties that scattered in and around York. | 7297/ | |
| | Comment – the council should encourage and support building on brownfield land first. | 7686/24088 | |
| | Objection – before building on Green Belt land, please build on all the brownfield sites, before even considering building on current green-belt land, especially near Askham Bogg. | 7714/ | |
| | Comment – brownfield sites should be developed as a priority and before any further greenfield sites are used. No doubt these are less attractive to developers regarding access etc for vehicles, cleaning the ground etc but the Council should be looking at ways of making these areas more attractive by giving incentives. greenfield development promotes commuting and ruins a dwindling environment. People want to live near their work or the very good transport links to town rather than using cars and paying for parking. | 9253/ | |
| | Comment – there are alternative sites within the city that have had industry/buildings on that are now redundant. These site should be developed first before taking drastic action like using Green Belt land. | 9261/ | |
| | Objection – opposed to any future housing developments which may be proposed for previously undeveloped land until all brownfield sites have been exhausted. Examples of suitable sites include Nestle couth, former British Sugar sites and he very many smaller ex commercial or industrial sites around York. | 9263/ | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment- all brownfield sites should be used before greenfield. | 9273/ | |
| | Objection- there should be no building in the Green Belt when housing could be built on brownfield land. | 9285/ | |
| | Comment- there are brownfield sites that could be used around York before greenfield is built upon. | 9286/ | |
| | Objection- opposed to the Council’s proposal to remove the land for the Green Belt and allocate it for housing, there must be enough brownfield sites available. The Chancellor in his recent speech promised to protect Green Belt and stated that Councils will be required to put local development orders on over 90% of brownfield sites that are suitable for houses and such development would be preapproved at the same time protecting our green spaces. Why are the Council not adhering to the guidelines | 9291/ | |
| | Objection – there is plenty of brownfield land in York which should be developed first before destroying parts of the City’s Greenbelt. | 9335/24099 | |
| | Comment – all brownfield sites should be used before consideration of the use of Green Belt land. | 9396/ | |
| | Objection –concerned about the amount of housing proposed in the plan. More homes are needed but brown field sites should be considered before land is taken out of the Green Belt, turning York into an urban sprawl and destroying the lovely villages surrounding the city. | 9397/ | |
| | Comment - brownfield development areas should be exhausted before development of Green Belt land is considered. | 9403/ | |
| | Comment – stop development until all potential brownfield development has been exhausted, long before the Green Belt is even considered. | 9408/ | |
| | Comment – the Council should allocate all available brownfield land for development before even thinking of greenfield. | 9411/ | |
| | Comment - there is still brownfield land available around York, fields and countryside should be left for future generations. | 9414/ | |
| | Comment – exhaust all brownfield sites and in-fill opportunities before greenfield sites are used. | 9417/ | |
| | Objection – queried why there are proposals to safeguard Green Belt land, when the sensible option would be to use current brownfield land for further development first, before utilising the precious Green Belt. | 9422/ | |
| | Comment - developers must demonstrate very special circumstances to alter Green Belt status, does not see that such circumstances exist, especially as there remain several brownfield sites in central York which have not been developed. | 9423/ | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment - developers must demonstrate very special circumstances to alter Green Belt status, does not see that such circumstances exist, especially as there remain several brownfield sites in central York which have not been developed. | 9426/ | |
| | Comment – if further homes are required in the city, there are previously developed sites e.g. British Sugar, York Central, Nestle South and Terry’s which could be used for adequate and affordable housing which would fulfil the required housing demand in York, allowing small areas of Green Belt land to remain in the historic city of York. | 9428/ | |
| | Comment – the Green Belt should be preserved and brownfield sites should be used as a first option in any development | 9440/ | |
| | Objection -too much land is being taken from the Green Belt; proposals for Brown land development have not been properly prioritised; the proposals will destroy much of the City’s unique and distinctive culture. | 9441/ | |
| | Objection- large housing estates should not be built on agricultural land in years to come it will be needed to feed the nation. Only smaller developments should be planned where easily accommodated with the existing local facilities. brownfield sites must be utilised first. | 9449/24107 | |
| | Comment – there are plenty of brownfield sites which could be used to create this volume of houses but obviously the Green Belt is seen as an easy target for the council and the developers. The brownfield sites should be considered in full first. | 9471/ | |
| | Comment - National Planning Policy states that brownfield sites must be allocated first before even considering Green Belt sites | 9473/ | |
| | Comment – suggest that York Council look to developing the abundance of brownfield sites that are available for development in the York area before bulldozing the ‘Green Belt’ for no good reason other than short term gain. | 9453/ | |
| | Objection – the need for the destruction of the Green Belt contained in the latest planning proposals has not been established, with considerable brownfield land being available. | 9464/24127 | |
| | Comment – York has many brown field sites that should be used first. | 9478/ | |
| | Comment – wherever possible, future development should not be allowed to sprawl into the countryside; brown field sites should be the preferred option and the plan is totally at variance with the government’s guidelines. | 9483/18744 | |
| | Comment – brownfield sites should be developed first in accordance with government policy. | 9499/ | |
| | Comment - Green Belt land must be protected and not be developed for obvious reasons. Homes should be built on all brownfield sites first as an absolute priority. | 9507/ | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment – Green Belt land should be protected whilst there are still brownfield sites available in North Yorkshire. | 9510/ | |
| | Objection – every area of greenfield in York which we have left will be built on if you have your way. | 9515/ | |
| | Comment – the Council should explore more brownfield sites before building on the Green Belt. | 9516/ | |
| | Comment – believe the Council should explore more brownfield sites before building on the Green Belt. | 9517/ | |
| | Comment - at present there are many brownfield sites in York that could be developed, such as the south New Earswick, the Grain Storage Area, the old nursing accommodation near the hospital, the del Monte site near Skelton and Rowntree/Nestle which is still waiting to be sold. Surely if the brownfield development sites have not yet been developed, then the demand for housing in York is not as high as is required in the report. York does require additional housing, but until all brownfield site have been developed then there should be no encroachment onto the Green Belt in any area of York. | 9519/ | |
| | Objection – exhaust all possible schemes and means to fully utilise and develop the brownfield sites that are already available within the boundaries of the ring road. Infrastructure all levels, available and in place; significantly less impact on York and its environs; less commuter travel into the city with the environmental benefits this brings; use the massive funds that will be needed to support the new greenfield sites to help developers implement the proper use of the brownfield sites to the benefit of the city and its residents. | 9585/ | |
| | Objection –development should concentrate on brownfield sites in the York area, many of which are an eyesore and could be improved enormously by development. | 9600/ | |
| | Objection - Green Belt is Green Belt. Use brownfield sites and leave the Green Belt alone. Why designate an area Green Belt if you can just change the rules – they'll be no countryside left. | 9633/ | |
| | Objection – do not believe good agricultural land should be sacrificed before brownfield sites within the city have all been developed. | 9642/ | |
| | Objection –brownfield sites should be used not Green Belt. | 9645/ | |
| Objection – the case for not developing brownfield sites within York has not been made convincingly. Such land appears to be available within the city and, due to its proximity to likely sites of employment, development of brownfield sites would allow for an increase in residential dwellings with a far less dramatic impact on traffic and | 9648/ | | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| | infrastructure than the proposed development in Earswick. | | |
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Objection – there are many urban sites in the city centre which have not been developed. | 9660/ | |
| | Objection – there are brownfield sites aplenty in York and we at Earswick are threatened with land grab from the Green Belt prior to these sites being developed. Chancellor George Osborne has stated the need for brownfield site applications to be constructed before Green Belt land grab. | 9665/ | |
| | Comment – any new housing in York area should be on brownfield sites in York area and not always in Green Belt areas. | 9673/ | |
| | Objection – all brownfield sites in York should be built on first, before any agricultural land is considered. | 9696/ | |
| | Objection –there are many brownfield sites in York, surely it makes sense to build on this land first to avoid disruption to existing established dwellings? Digging up existing greenfield sites will upset the natural habitat of wildlife and planting that already exists on this land as they have done for many years. | 9708/ | |
| | Comment – queried if any thought has been given to brownfield land. | 9716/ | |
| | Objection – York has a number of currently undeveloped brownfield sites which should be used before all others, if additional housing is required. | 9725/ | |
| | Comment – the proposal to develop Green Belt land which is direct contradiction to government policy of using brown field sites first | 9731/ | |
| | Comment - brown field sites can provide much of what is deemed to be required before development on Green Belt land | 9732/ | |
| | Objection – why persist in destroying green areas when there are many so called brownfield sites | 9734/ | |
| | Comment – All possible brownfield sites should be built on first before any thought is given to building elsewhere. | 9744/ | |
| | Comment – there is a need for houses but there are brownfield sites available. | 9765/ | |
| | Objection – current brownfield sites should be developed before the town is allowed to sprawl further. | 9767/ | |
| | Comment – development of available brownfield sites should be enough to cater for the projected population. | 9818/24216 | |
| | Objection – the UK is growing less of its own food and importing more. Arable land should be used for crop growing not building. Brownfield sites should be developed. | 9819/24217 | |
| Comment – any new housing in York area should be on brownfield sites in York area and not always in Green Belt areas. | 9995/ | | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Objection – brownfield sites should be developed on first – there are many of these already awaiting development. Strongly oppose development on the Green Belt as it would change the character of the villages making up our ward. | 9997/ | Huntington and New Earswick Councillors |
| | Objection – brownfield sites must be developed so that the natural environment is not destroyed. | 10008/ | |
| | Comment- there is plenty of brownfield space in the city not being used. | 10071/ | |
| | Objection - no need for the destruction of the Green Belt. Available considerable brown field land. This is contrary to proposals from the Government. | 10136/ | |
| | Comments – brownfield sites should be built before Greenfield land. | 10146/ | Willow Grove Residents Association |
| | Comment- brownfield sites should be developed first. When these are exhausted a possible development of greenfield sites should be very carefully planned, There should not be a wholesale huge addition to populations which are only just managing to survive. | 10168/ | |
| | Comment – support any development of ‘brown field sites’ within the city boundaries and of the development of new satellite communities around and outside York. | 10188/ | |
| | brownfield industrial sites first | 10215/ | |
| | Objection – brownfield sites used before any Green Belt land. | 10217/ | |
| | Comment – redevelop non flood risk brownfield sites as a priority. | 10222/ | |
| | Objection - brownfield sites should be used first to prevent damagingr the environment. | 10225/ | |
| | Objection – understand that the City of York currently enjoys the largest acreage of brownfield land in Europe. Yet, rather than encouraging development of that land, the City Council continues to propose and support the development and destruction of the Green Belt around York. This runs counter to Government policy and cannot be right. The Green Belt must be retained to avoid urban spread and to contain the City of York within its historic boundaries. | 10230/ | |
| | Objection – the Government has recently supported the building of housing on brownfield sites to protect the Green Belt. Before invading the Green Belt, look towards brownfield sites. | 10232/ | |
| | Objection – the continued failure to prioritise brownfield development sites over greenfield sites in order to encourage large-scale developers to finance so-called affordable housing is contrary to Government guidelines and remains an unsupported aspiration given York developer’ historic lack of appetite to bear the burden of building affordable homes as a significant portion of new-built estates. | 10313/ | CYC Conservative Group |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Objection – all brownfield sites should be fully utilised before agreeing to any greenfield sites being granted planning permission. | 10387/26080 | |
| | Objection - there are plenty of brown belt sites, use them instead and stop ripping up the beautiful countryside. | 10422/ | |
| | Comments – extension of the urban area, supplemented by limited development at larger villages and minor infill at small villages. | 10489/ | |
| | Objection – brownfield sites must be used first (according to government’s guidelines). Consider first to build in the centre in York, rather than in Green Belt. | 10617/ | |
| | Objection-Little progress on brownfield sites within the ring road such as in the area behind the station/ Holgate area. These should be being targeted for development, not Greenfield sites outside the ring road. Demolished factory site between Nestle and New Earswick are brownfield which can be developed for housing with no loss of greenery. Similarly, the site alongside the barbican. | 10718/ | |
| | Comment – brownfield options should be fully exploited before the Green Belt is ruined and disappears once and for all for future generations. | 10770/ | |
| | Comment - brownfield sites will benefit form use. | 10777/ | |
| | Objection - brownfield sites should always be developed before giving away Green Belt land to developers. Where is the justification to use Green Belt land when York has so many brown field sites. Understand that the government require 90% of brownfield sites to be developed first, however the council seem to be contradicting these with proposed Green Belt development ahead of brown field consideration | 10778 | |
| | Objection- inappropriate that a historic city could be ruined by plans for 16,000 houses on York’s Green Belt. The character of York, Haxby and the villages will be lost forever. All brownfield sites must be used in the first instance. No available jobs in York for all the occupants of the newly built houses. The main problem is the strain which will be put on the local infrastructure. Risk of flooding to the potential building sites, Hazardous parking on yellow lines at the junction with station road. The situation will be far worse with the huge development proposed in the area. Damage will be caused to health services, schools, parking at the shops and the reliability of the bus services. York should be preserved so that visitors will want to come here to kept its economy healthy and not irreparably damage the Green Belt. | 10815/ | |
| | Objection – houses should be built on brownfield sites close to existing infrastructure. Population growth 0.7% annum should be capable of being met on brownfield sites if only York would use them for housing rather than retail and tourism. | 10856/ | |
| Objection – no need to build on Green Belt areas. Sufficient brownfield sites for future | 10897/ | | |

| Site, Para etc. | Comments | Ref. | Name (where business or organisation) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|
| | housing needs. Devastating effect on wildlife. | | |
| Brownfield/ Greenfield Development (continued) | Comment – the trend in most progressive cities is towards development of city centre brownfield sites where these are available, and to pursue a policy of integrated sustainable urban development. This encourages people to walk and make short local journeys by public transport, with significant benefits in terms of reducing carbon emissions. | 11421/ | |