

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
<b>Infrastructure and Services</b>	Objection – do not believe that the existing highway network will be able to cope with the proposed residential and employment sites. Believe a major highways infrastructure/traffic study needs to be carried out and implemented as part of the City expansion. In terms of sustainable development, there is a lack of provision for 16 – 18 education facilities to the north west of the city will increase pollution and congestion. Modern households, especially in rural areas, tend to have two cars event though some consider York to be a cycling city.	71/	Nether Poppleton Parish Council
	Objection – do not believe that the existing highway network will be able to cope with the proposed residential and employment sites. Believe a major highways infrastructure/traffic study needs to be carried out and implemented as part of the City expansion. In terms of sustainable development, there is a lack of provision for 16 – 18 education facilities to the North West of the City will increase pollution and congestion. Modern households, especially in rural areas, tend to have two cars event though some consider York to be a cycling city.	78/	Upper Poppleton Parish Council
	Comment – proposals are inappropriate development without any guarantees of the necessary investment in the infrastructure and adequate justification of the need for this level of development.	995/	
	Objection – the NPPF requires the plan to be ambitious, viable, sustainable but achievable. The scale of the essential infrastructure needed to support the developments would be far in excess of any developed by the local authorities in recent years. No robust evidence, available to the public, has yet been produced to indicate what infrastructure is required, who will provide and fund, where and when to meet the developers’ ambitious timescales.	1306/	Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)
	Objection – do not believe the Plan is currently sustainable. Existing infrastructure and amenities will not cope with the level of planned development and there are no guarantees within the Plan of the already much-needed investment in our infrastructure, other than assumptions about commuted sums and s106 payments. Major infrastructure upgrades required by the city are unlikely to be provided for without major investment. Call on the authority to reassess their definition of sustainability to ensure it is effectively applied to the development proposals within the draft Local Plan.	1355/	Julian Sturdy MP

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
<b>Infrastructure and Services (continued)</b>	Objection – concerned that viability appraisals for the strategic sites are not available to view. Concerned that, given scale of development proposed, it may be difficult for these sites to finance offsite (particularly highways), as well as onsite infrastructure. Especially as the Strategic Sites will have significant up front costs but will take a long time to build out and recoup those costs. It is right that the strategic sites should contribute to offsite improvements on at least a pro rata basis, but if this renders them unviable then it brings into question the Council’s strategy given that the offsite improvements will be required to accommodate the proposed scale of development.	1592/	Directions Planning Consultancy on behalf of the York Civic Trust
	Comment – the proposed plans to build 20,000 new homes by 2030 will make significant impact on local traffic. Wigginton Road is often very busy making it difficult for emergency vehicles, staff and public to get to the hospital site. Additional houses to the extent being planned will have serious impact. Increased local population will also have significant impact on local health services (primary and secondary) which will need to be considered as part of the planning process.	1895/	York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
	Objection – there are not details for extra schools, medical facilities, roads or transport.	1903/	
	Objection - there seems to be a cavalier attitude to addressing concerns about infrastructure, particularly the capacity of the A1237 to deal with present traffic, let alone with the addition of a further proposed new homes.	1956/	
	Objection - no plans on how the already straining infrastructure is going to cope with the increase in people, cars, public transport. Queried where the sustainable road plans, school plans, public transport plans etc. are.	2009/	
	Objection – there needs to more information as to how this massive increase will affect the local infrastructure.	2342	
	Comment – no provision has been made to upgrade the infrastructure apart from duelling a small part of it. The levels of vehicle emissions has lead to Air Quality Management Areas being declared on the inner ring road.	2484/17999	
	Objection – inadequate information and proposals to address the obvious and significant infrastructure implications.	3135/23858	
	Objection - there is no statement that infrastructure will be upgraded to cope with all this building. No plans for duelling of the A1237 for example. Will there be any building of schools to cope with the increased numbers of children in areas such as North Clifton, the proposed new town between Heslington and Elvington and Huntington.	3799/	
	Objection – York’s infrastructure cannot sustain hundreds more houses.	3902/23932	

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
<b>Infrastructure and Services (continued)</b>	Objection – cannot understand why the Council have proposed such extensive development, without providing any guarantees of having secured the necessary investment in our infrastructure. Existing congestion problems are rapidly deteriorating and grinding the City to a halt.	4159/	
	Comment - York’s Inner Ring Road is reaching saturation point and further demands will only make things worse. Many areas of York have problems with flooding and the amount of building proposed will exacerbate the situation. York seems to be moving in many different directions at the same time. Schools are being closed, merged, new schools built and expanded. The plans do not provide for the additional resources which will obviously be needed for GP practices and the hospital, which is already overwhelmed at times.	4301/	
	Comment - concerned that nowhere in the Plan are there details of the schools, medical and dental facilities, shops, other community facilities that will be needed to avoid the already stretched services to function. Also aware that space is limited for burials and crematoriums.	4647/	
	Objection - infrastructure is a vital ingredient in sustainable development. It is reckless of our local authority to propose masses of new housing without putting in place the necessary improvements to roads, schools and hospitals – all of which are already under strain.	4654/	
	Objection – no evidence that the basic infrastructure of the city is to be improved to support the addition of large new Housing developments at Earswick, north of Haxby and north of Clifton Moor. The northern ring road is already at capacity.	5189/	
	Comment – HS3 should be built at same time, if not before HS2 as part of a major integrated transport strategy and accompanied by an upgrade to Manchester airport to rival Gatwick.	5357/24002	
	Objection – there has been poor communication, in previous consultations residents have voiced concerns regarding drainage and sewerage, now proposals do not make it clear how this will be addressed. Proposals will overwhelm villages. Infrastructure and services cannot support more people. There is reference to opening Haxby station but no further detail. The increase in traffic increases risk to children. The impact of these proposal will impact on daily life and quality of life. This has not been considered. Alternatives: Poppleton, Knapton, the grain stores.	5585/24032	
	Comment – several considerations need taking into account if further developments are to go ahead such as medical, education, sewage, health and safety (e.g. roads/traffic).	6036/	

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
<b>Infrastructure and Services (continued)</b>	Objection – questioned how York Hospital will cope with increase of people. Hospital is already at crisis point. Same question for the Police.	6217/	
	Objection – strain on current services because of the vast increase in population.	6502/	
	Objection – strain on current services because of the vast increase in population.	6503/	
	Comment –major housing development of the scale proposed without significant infrastructure improvements will only lead to further gridlock in our City and could ultimately pose a serious detriment to inward employment investment.	6514/	Cllr Paul Doughty, Conservative Councillor on behalf of Strensall Ward
	Objection – residents feel that the draft Local Plan makes huge assumptions about the delivery of the proposed sites and the provision of the necessary infrastructure to support them. They are very concerned that the houses will be built without the roads, schools, shops and community facilities necessary and see nothing in the plan to alleviate those fears.	6515/	Cllr Anne Reid, representing Dringhouses and Woodthorpe Ward
	Objection – York roads are already full, and continuing to add to them will inevitably result in more gridlock and increased pollution. Park & Ride sites seem to have resulted in an increase, rather than a decrease, in the large numbers of cars "fly-parking" on suburban roads in York. Each new house requires a range of services to be available from the outset. The newly built estate on the old York College site at Dringhouses, for example, has no shops, no bus service, no post box, nothing but houses. Building houses and leaving their occupants to fend for themselves is not good enough. Building houses in areas currently covered by grass, trees and other greenery will reduce the York environment's capacity to absorb excess carbon dioxide. York should take the lead in producing a carbon neutral, or, better still, a carbon negative environment. Reduce/remove cars from within the city walls by giving pedestrians greater priority at traffic lights and policing vehicles which ignore the pedestrian zones. Perhaps roads temporarily closed to traffic could have benches placed across them for pedestrians to sit and rest.	9305/	
Objection – the infrastructure in York is already at capacity, with the Outer Ring Road becoming overcrowded since the new Vanguard Shopping Complex. Schools, Local Medical Surgeries and the York District Hospital are presently overstretched, and more residents needing their services will impact this. Rising crime rates are bound to occur and out of town retail is likely to lead to the closure of long run family businesses. Developers cram as many properties as possible onto sites for profit reasons with no through to Bungalows/ Retirement properties for the ever-growing elderly population. Local Councils are currently making cut backs in all areas, so how will they afford	9418/		

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	amenities such as parks, Sports/Community halls etc.		
<b>Infrastructure and Services (continued)</b>	Comment - queried how the hospital will cope with these 50,000+ residents when it has no space for development and already caters for those as far as Scarborough.	9471/	
	Comment – insufficient information about infrastructure implications.	9436/	
	Comment – at last a plan. Now put in the infrastructure to support the construction.	9439/	
	Objection – there is no information that sets out how the infrastructure capacity shortfalls will be overcome. The solution seems to require huge amounts of finance.	9464/24127	
	Objection – overall local plan is inappropriate. York currently struggles to cope with demands of its current population without adding to it. Very serious investment would be required in the infrastructure of the area to meet the increased demands.	9480/	
	Objection – the current road infrastructure will not take the increased level of traffic.	9490/	
	Objection – the revised proposals appear to represent a 40% increase in the population of Haxby and Wigginton. This is wholly unsustainable. Already have major issues with all services, with particular emphasis on sewers (at capacity now), roads and commuter traffic, schools, medical services and amenities. There is very little information on how you intend to support the proposed dwellings. Queried how infrastructure will service it.	9585/	
	Objection - we have recently moved to the area and had a baby and our concern is the stretch on local amenities and how they will cope. We are strongly against the proposed plan.	9595/	
	Support - heard talk of a new railway station at Haxby and Strensall. What a far-sighted legacy that would be for the council making local and onward travel much easier for residents to ease vehicle congestion in York City Centre.	9681/24161	
	Objection – before proposing major housing developments the first considerations should be infrastructure.	10025/25973	
	Comment- the infrastructure on the outer sides often city cannot cope at the best of times. The outer ring road is over used and is often clogged with traffic. The extra proposed housing will only increased the traffic problems. There is no direct bus service to monks cross or Clifton moor. The primary and secondary schools are over subscribed.	10071/	
Objection – infrastructure presently at or above capacity: roads, junctions, schools, hospitals and other medical facilities. Huge amounts of finance to solve the infrastructure problems.	10136/		
Objection - York does not have the infrastructure to deal with the increased traffic arising from proposed developments.	10234/		

Site, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
<b>Infrastructure and Services (continued)</b>	Comment – queried where the additional infrastructure in the plan. The vast number of new houses around the Poppletons will require new schools, new health centres, additions to the hospital, a total rethink of transport, refuse collection, drainage. We know the entire infrastructure is at capacity now. More houses – more concrete less green belt – more concrete and more flooding.	10638	
	Objection- disappointed to see such extensive extension to the City being proposed it will overwhelm the existing services in all directions, i.e. education, social services, hospital/medical facilities etc. A more limited expansion may be required but not the ideas being mooted.	10717/	
	Objection- more housing being serviced by Stockton Lane would make the traffic levels unbearable to the local residents.	10841/	
	Objection- the NHS, doctors, Schools, Sewerage are struggling already. Traffic on the 1237 is already in gridlock most times with the volume of traffic.	10861/	
	Objection – lack of vital information o how the local roads would support the volume of traffic generated by such a build.	10897/	