

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
12656	SF1	Objection	Object to the exclusion of Site SF1 as a development site or safeguarded land parcel. Consider that Land to South of Strensall is suitable, deliverable and viable within the plan period. Considered to have few technical constraints. Would be able to be brought forward in the short-term and deliver through plan period. Net developable considered to be 20ha. Consider that this site could meet the needs of Strensall in the short to long term to maintain village vitality. Considered as a logical southern extension to Strensall. Evidence submitted includes a vision document, SA and OAHN Assessment.	Shirethorn Ltd & Lovel Developments (Yorkshire) Ltd
1710	SF1	Support	This proposal would destroy a village that today is already stretched to capacity. There are issues with the lack of infrastructure, population growth and loss of Green Belt land.	
10674	SF1	Support	Agree with proposal to remove the safeguarded land in Strensall and put into greenbelt.	
12193	SF1	Support	Support the removal of this site (SF1).	
12199	SF1	Support	The village has insufficient infrastructure (eg. Schools, roads, amenities to satisfy the existing population let alone any new development. No more new houses and concentrate on brownfield sites.	
12200	SF1	Support	Very pleased that the many and strong concerns of the Strensall residents now appear to have been taken into account with the removal of these 3 sites from the Plan (H27, H30 & SF1)	
12202	SF1	Support	Safeguarded land at Strensall must be put back into the Green Belt and no further housing should be entertained. The Village infrastructure does not have capacity for more housing and more housing would be at the detriment of existing householders.	
12315	SF1	Support	Support removal of this safeguarded site it is a beautiful area and habitat for wildlife and birds. Strensall as a village is at capacity as far as facilities, traffic and schools places are concerned - the character of the village is in severe jeopardy, traffic on The Village is dangerous. Brownfield sites should be used first.	
12319	SF1	Support	Delighted that changes to the plan will mean houses not being built on green field site in Strensall.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
12357	SF1	Support	We support the removal of this site.	
12364	SF1	Support	We support the removal of this site.	
12386	SF1	Support	I am fully supportive of the changes in the plan to not develop more housing in Strensall, including the removal of safeguarded land. This would cause issues with local services and infrastructure being over used.	
12428	SF1	Support	I approve of the decision to removal all of the proposed sites in Strensall.	
12429	SF1	Support	We particularly approve of the changes to the sites identified for safeguarded land for Strensall and Towthorpe.	
12501	SF1	Support	Especially approve of the removal of the safeguarded site.	
12579	SF1	Support	In total agreement with removal of plans for developments in Strensall	
12693	SF1	Support	Support removal of this site from the 'plan'. Also believe future housing needs of York for foreseeable future can be met by development of brownfield sites.	
12846	SF1	Support	I agree with the removal of this site.	
13033	SF1	Support	Pleased this site in Strensall has been withdrawn from the plan - infrastructure here is not able to support any further development.	
13034	SF1	Support	Support removal of this site - infrastructure in Strensall/Towthorpe could not support further development and the character of the village would be further reduced.	
13047	SF1	Support	Congratulate and support CYC for removing the safeguarded sites from the plan particularly SF1 as this is valuable green belt land full of diverse wildlife and creates a backdrop to village helping to create the feeling of a village, adding to the historic setting of York. Also acts as a green lung and a buffer between the urbanised nature of the village and the SSSI.	
13048	SF1	Support	Fully support removal of all safeguarded land especially SF1. This is precious green belt land giving a rural backdrop to the village acting as a buffer between residential and SSSI. Important to protect the unique and historical rural character that is full of diverse plants and wildlife.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
13051	SF1	Support	Fully support removal of all safeguarded land sites from the Local Plan as they are totally un-necessary. Strensall is already over populated with bad traffic congestion. SF1 provides a rural character to Strensall and should be protected, it's green belt status should be protected into perpetuity. There are no special circumstances why this green belt should be earmarked for future development.	
13056	SF1	Support	The removal of housing planned for Strensall is welcome as the village is already at capacity	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
9381	SF4	Objection	<p>The green belt should be permanent and endure well beyond the plan period. Authorities usually allocate safeguarded land and plans incorporating safeguarded land will have been positively prepared and justified. CYC Local Plan seeks to provide for the longer term needs of the city by relying on development from a few larger sites. This is an unusual approach and cannot provide certainty that the green belt will be permanent. Previous draft plans sought to apply the national and saved regional policies in setting out the extent of the green belt and identifying a reserve of safeguarded land for longevity. This implies CYC do not believe that the approach adopted in the Local Plan complies with national and regional saved policies. The Inspector who considered the old plan confirmed that green belts should be 'permanent' and importantly advocating they remain unchanged for at least 20 years. The QC that advised CYC on the permanence of the green belt indicated that 'a 10 year horizon beyond the life of the plan' would be appropriate. Quantum's of safeguarded land excluded from the green belt should be based on the annual average house building rate adopted during the plan period. A limited number of very large sites have been identified to deliver housing beyond the plan period - this cannot be assured. Strong doubts on suitability and viability of two of the main sites are raised. Evidence is also provided that shows there is nothing stopping some of the smaller sites being built out during the plan period. The limited pool of sites that could deliver beyond the plan period will not be sufficient to ensure a 5 year housing supply, therefore the local plan will need to be reviewed early and before the end of the plan period. The life of the green belt will be no more than 13-14 years and cannot be regarded as comprising a permanent greenbelt. CYC have a record of not being able to produce a plan and define a green belt. If there is no pool of reserved land then there is a real danger CYC will not be able to produce a new plan in timely fashion and the cities development needs will go unmet. To make the Plan sound CYC should reintroduce a safeguarded land policy.</p>	Linden Homes
63	SF4	Support	Support the removal of SF4. Would have included a potential 720 homes / 1440 cars causing congestion.	Haxby Town Council

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
9970	SF4	Support	Support the removal of SF4. Would have included a potential 720 homes / 1440 cars causing congestion.	
12542	SF4	Support	Support the removal of SF4. Would have included a potential 720 homes / 1440 cars causing congestion.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
6347	SF5	Objection	Request to reallocate site for housing development. Note that rep relates to part of SF5 site (15.34ha to west of Moor Lane). The site continues to represent a viable and deliverable housing site (approx 350 units), has a willing landowner and would contribute to housing delivery within the first 5 years of the Plan. Rep points to significant undersupply and lack of brownfield land as precursors to the Plan considering greenfield sites outside settlement limits, such as land within fmr SF5 site. Further evidence submitted regarding site's access and sustainability.	O'Neill Associates

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
866	SF8	Objection	I am writing in order to offer support for the safeguarded land SF8. As these allocations are important for the future expansion of the North Minster. The current site is successful due to, location, security, attractive landscaping and availability of both lease hold and virtual free hold opportunities.	Northminster Ltd
71	SF8	Support	Support for the removal of safeguarded land	Nether Poppleton Parish Council
78	SF8	Support	Support for the removal of safeguarded land	Upper Poppleton PC
12782	SF8	Support	It is acknowledged and welcomed that SF8 has been dropped from the 2016 Plan.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
59	SF9	Support	Support the removal of this safeguarded land.	Dunnington Parish Council

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
13182	SF10	Objection	Objects to the site being rejected.	Barratt & David Wilson Homes
1668	SF10	Objection	Object the rejection of this site as a potential housing allocation. Proposals have the potential to provide a high quality residential development of 88 homes, alongside the delivery of public open space and associated infrastructure. The site will provide the opportunity to help meet York's current and future housing needs. The proposals will deliver development which respects the character of the surrounding area whilst seeking to incorporate 21st Century designs to provide a high quality residential development where people want to live. It is located in a suitable and highly sustainable location. The site is deliverable and available now and is under the control of a national housebuilder . The site can be considered achievable as new homes can be delivered on the site within the next 5 years and within the first 5 years of the Local Plan. There are no technical or environmental (built or natural) constraints which would preclude the development of the site.	Barratt & David Wilson Homes

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
13089	SF11	Objection	The Council should reconsider the highly risky strategy of not providing safeguarded land and having done so, the land at Wheldrake - Site Ref. 752 (SF11) should be so designated. The site is free from technical constraints, and it does not fulfil any of the 5 purposes of including land in the Green Belt. On this ground alone, the site should be <u>excluded</u> from the Green Belt consistent with national policy. The location and shape of the site will enable it to be developed in a manner which is entirely consistent with grain and general layout of the village.	Jennifer Hubbard
10010	SF1	Support	Supporting the removal of SF1 as it would be too close to Strensall Common and the SSSI and the access over land that the Parish Council own would be unlikely and inadequate.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
4712	SF12	Comment	Support the removal of the Moor Lane site (Dringhouses) from the Plan. Moor Lane is not suitable to take any additional traffic from that which presently uses it. Site does not appear in the document, but does not say it has been rejected.	
12887	SF12	Comment	Support for the removal of site south of Moor Lane, Woodthorpe, which will ensure that the nature reserve will be protected for future generations and halt further impacts on congestion/amenities.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
9254	SF12	Objection	<p>Delivery Statement and technical papers associated with submission clearly demonstrate suitability of the site to be identified as a housing-led mixed use allocation. The latest PSLP has left it without status reasons for which are unclear. Technical work demonstrates why the Council was wrong to question the develop ability of the site. The site is in a highly sustainable location with excellent accessibility to local facilities and York city centre. Positive engagement has been carried out with key stakeholders such as Natural England and Yorkshire Wildlife Trust to understand how net environmental benefits could be gained. Site is surrounded by strong physical boundaries ensuring a defensible green belt boundary can be drawn to protect surrounding countryside. It is substantially unconstrained in terms of on-site environmental and technical considerations being deliverable immediately, capably of 1250 new homes, employment and associated social and community facilities and can deliver social economic and environmental benefits not least to local community, Askham Bog and operation of nearby P&R. It represents an appropriate extension to help meet urgent housing needs. Extensive technical work undertaken includes; hydrological investigations, highway, access and sustainability considerations, Phase I Ecological habitat surveys, Phase II protected species surveys and specialist surveys of Askham Bog SSSI, Aquatic Invertebrate survey, Arboriculture Surveys, Landscape and Visual Impact appraisals, Visual modelling, Agricultural Land Classification Farming Circumstances and Soils Assessments and Archaeological Investigations. This technical work demonstrates beyond doubt the site is deliverable, achievable and viable. It is located within surrounding A64 and A1237 road corridors and the wider strategic Green Belt function will not be materially affected. Also offers an excellent opportunity to provide a new, strong defensible boundary to the green belt. Note slight boundary amendment (site 880)</p>	Barwood Strategic Land II LLP
2	SF12	Support	Support removal of site given its proximity to Askham Bog SSSI	Natural England
42	SF12	Support	Development could have caused irreversible damage to an irreplaceable site (Askham Bogg).	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
2263	SF12	Support	Support the change that the proposed development at Woodthorpe near Askham Bog is not going ahead	
2275	SF12	Support	I support the removal of the site at Askham Bogg, Woodthorpe.	
2275	SF12	Support	Support for the removal of land south of moor lane, woodthorpe.	
2363	SF12	Support	Support for the removal of land south of moor lane, woodthorpe - site should be protected as green belt and is too close to Askham Bog to be used for housing development. The area is becoming increasingly built up without improvements to infrastructure.	
2482	SF12	Support	Support for the removal of site south of Moor Lane, Woodthorpe, which will ensure that the nature reserve will be protected for future generations and halt further impacts on road congestion.	
2734	SF12	Support	Moor Lane, Woodthorpe - no way this area could cope with doubling/tripling of traffic flow. Bus services have been removed. Destruction of trees and environment would be caused.	
2929	SF12	Support	Site south of Moor Lane, Woodthorpe. Supports removal of site.	
3893	SF12	Support	Supporting removal of site at Moor Lane, Woodthorpe, due to concerns if the development did go ahead; congestion, no improvements to roads proposed, sewers already at capacity, concerns for surface water run off polluting Askham Bogg, poor bus services and concerns over distance to walk to the closest park and ride.	
4344	SF12	Support	Support the removal of the Moor Lane site (Dringhouses) from the Plan.	
5537	SF12	Support	Support proposal to give land off Moor lane Woodthorpe green belt protection. Area includes the natural wildlife sanctuary of Askham Bog. This area does not have the infrastructure (schools, roads, leisure facilities etc) for any large development.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
5540	SF12	Support	Support proposal to give land off Moor lane Woodthorpe green belt protection. It is close to Askham Bog one of oldest nature reserves and land off Moor lane attracts lots of wildlife.	
5703	SF12	Support	Support the removal of SF12 (Moor Lane, Woodthorpe)..	
5772	SF12	Support	Land off Moor Lane Woodthorpe (ST10/SF12) has been designated as Green Belt for over 30 years - support its designation to stay the same and would strongly object to any developments taking place on this land.	
5794	SF12	Support	Support the removal of the ST10/SF12 site and retention as Green Belt to help protect Askham Bogs.	
5998	SF12	Support	Support plan to give land off Moor Lane, Woodthorpe proper green belt protection. David Attenborough describes Askham Bog as important in nature conservation terms as York Minster - any building near this area would be catastrophic.	
6002	SF12	Support	Access to this site not possible - see David Attenborough comments about this site.	
6024	SF12	Support	Want to see land off Moor Lane, Woodthorpe to be given green belt protection - we need this green belt.	
7663	SF12	Support	Support protection of SF12: Moor lane Woodthorpe. The areas proposed are the last few green spaces between Woodthorpe and the outer ring road and A64. Building would jeopardise the wildlife in the area and potentially damage the nature reserve. There are insufficient school places, doctors and other pieces of infrastructure as it is.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
7686	SF12	Support	Support protection of SF12: Moor lane Woodthorpe that should be given proper green belt protection and protects Askham Bog Nature Reserve. This will also avoid the junction from Moor lane to Tadcaster Road becoming more congested especially on school mornings. Brownfield land should be used wherever possible to satisfy local housing need thereby protecting greenbelt land.	
7687	SF12	Support	Land South of Moor Lane - general support for the site's removal and retention as greenbelt/preservation of Askham Bog.	
7688	SF12	Support	Support protection of SF12: Moor lane Woodthorpe that should be given proper green belt protection	
7704	SF12	Support	Support protection of SF12: Moor lane Woodthorpe that should be given proper green belt protection	
7711	SF12	Support	Support removal of SF12: Moor Lane Woodthorpe. Concerned about threat to Askham Bog (SSSI) and adjacent land along Moor lane. Imperative we protect these special areas and avoid over population in the green wedge. Priority should be given to developing brownfield sites.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
7794	SF12	Support	Land South of Moor Lane - general support for the site's removal and retention as farmland, with particular reference to parking/traffic congestion.	
7824	SF12	Support	Support removal of SF12: Moor Lane Woodthorpe from Local Plan as it is vital to protect Askham Bog and local wildlife.	
9312	SF12	Support	I am heartened to learn that this land is proposed as Green Belt to protect it for future generations. The allocation of this site would have lead to the loss of this SSSI and destroyed the area.	
9507	SF12	Support	Having registered my strong objection to the Council's proposed re-designation of the existing Green Belt land off Moor Lane on several occasions dating back to April 2009, I hope that this area of land including Askham Bogg will continue to be given Green Belt protection from any further development. The Council must stand its ground and the most sensible and logical policy of 'BROWN FIELD FIRST' must prevail. Do we really need another 'Haxby' at this side of town with all the problems it would create.	
9514	SF12	Support	I am pleased that the Moor Lane site identified in the previous draft City of York Local Plan as safeguarded for future development (ST10) (sic.) [SF12] has been removed from the new Local Plan, together with all other safeguarded land.	
9621	SF12	Support	Delighted to see the land off Moor Lane is to be protected Green Belt. Absolute support for the Green Belt protection proposal and object to any developer block that is being made	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
9656	SF12	Support	I support the proposals to protect the land adjacent to Moor Lane, Woodthorpe. There are concerns for, loss of wildlife, loss of natural beauty, strain on schools, doctors, and dentists, increased traffic and loss of Green Belt.	
9694	SF12	Support	Moor Lane, Woodthorpe. General support for removal of SF site given need to protect Askham Bogg Nature Reserve, protect the green belt and avoid further traffic congestion.	
9902	SF12	Support	Support plan for land off Moor Lane Woodthorpe to be given green belt protection. Land around Askham Bog must be protected to ensure survival of this unique habitat.	
10186	SF12	Support	This allocation should not go ahead there are issue with the loss of wildlife, extra traffic and general devastation.	
10321	SF12	Support	Moor Lane, Woodthorpe - would not support development here due to proximity to Askham Bog. The general drainage of the area is an issue with flooding in back gardens and general infrastructure concerns especially volume of traffic.	
10353	SF12	Support	Moor Lane, Woodthorpe - Pleased that LPPS seems to preserve area adjoining Askham Bog free from housing south of Moor lane. This should be green belt to protect drainage into the bog that is very ancient site of special scientific interest and core samples of ancient peat going back to ice age.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
10491	SF12	Support	Support the de-allocation of ST10/SF12 (Moor Lane, Woodthorpe)	
12158	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of land at Moor Lane Woodthorpe and its return to green belt.	
12159	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of SF12 Land at Moor Lane Wood Thorpe, as any development would put Askham Bogg at risk.	
12213	SF12	Support	The Moor Lane site should never be built on. Wildlife, esp at Askham Bogs, needs to be protected. Moor Lane can't cope with the extra traffic. The schools will not cope - a new school is promised but this will never happen. Plenty of brownfield sites to build on instead.	
12239	SF12	Support	Agree with removal of Moor Lane, Woodthorpe and protection of Askham Bogs	
12242	SF12	Support	Support the land off Moor Lane, Woodthorpe, being given Green Belt protection.	
12243	SF12	Support	Support the proposal to designate land at Moor Lane, Woodthorpe in the Green Belt. Vital for the protection of Askham Bog and avoid traffic gridlock in the area. Already too many homes built in the area.	
12244	SF12	Support	Support land off Moor Lane in Woodthorpe being given green belt protection, esp in view of its proximity to Askham Bog Nature Reserve.	
12258	SF12	Support	Against the plan to build homes on Moor Lane Dringhouses. The thought of houses being built on the Green Belt with even more traffic is very worrying in this already busy area.	
12262	SF12	Support	The land adjoining Moor [Lane] should be given Green Belt status to protect the Askham Bog and surrounding area. To build on this space would be a tragedy.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
12270	SF12	Support	Oppose the proposed Barwood Development plan to build 1,250 houses on the Green Belt on Moor Lane, Dringhouses York. Strongly agree that this land should be given protected Green Belt status and not developed. The road infrastructure is already overloaded in that area and doctors, dentists and school are oversubscribed. It would also mean that Askham Bog would be built on which would be a great shame for wildlife.	
12279	SF12	Support	Urge City of York Council to protect the land off Moor Lane adjacent to Copmanthorpe from housing development and to give this Green Belt status to this in the future. Proposed development of this land would cause extreme strain to the local infrastructure, particularly for transport. The area acts as a natural 'break' from the suburban sprawl of the southern part of York City (Woodthorpe and Dringhouses areas) and the rural locations including Copmanthorpe. Development here would encroach onto the protected Askham Bog area and may harm this habitat.	
12292	SF12	Support	Moor Lane backs onto Askham Bog, a precious nature reserve. Can not have houses build on land which is a bog. Other land is much more suitable.	
12307	SF12	Support	Support plan to give land off Moor Lane Woodthorpe green belt protection	
12347	SF12	Support	Support sites removal and to remain as a green belt site with no new development.	
12349	SF12	Support	Support this as green belt land. It is a flood protection area (pumping station). Infrastructure could not cope with new development.	
12350	SF12	Support	Support removal of this site (SF12) to protect Askham Bog and local wildlife.	
12408	SF12	Support	Do not build off Moor Lane Woodthorpe, help protect Askham Bogg.	
12409	SF12	Support	Do not build off Moor Lane Woodthorpe, help protect Askham Bogg.	
12426	SF12	Support	If this development were to go ahead it would be a disaster. Concerned about issues with, loss of wildlife, loss ecology, increased traffic, increased noise and air pollution and loss of green space.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
12427	SF12	Support	Concerned about the issues that would be caused if the site immediately South of Moor Lane, Woodthorpe were to be developed. These issues include, transport infrastructure and additional traffic, pollution, loss of vistas and open spaces, threats to hydrology, and disruption construction will cause.	
12455	SF12	Support	Support removal - this site should be kept free of any new housing to maintain open space and green belt. Also to protect wildlife on Askham Bog. Transport infrastructure and roads cannot sustain a new estate.	
12456	SF12	Support	Agree with decision to remove this site - additional housing would be detrimental to environment (Askham Bog) and further impact on land saturation . Insufficient infrastructure plus green are should remain and allow York to retain its identity.	
12478	SF12	Support	Fully support CYCs intention to keep this land in the green belt. Development would increase significantly traffic flow on Moor Lane and add to congestion on roundabouts at Challoner Road and Askham Bar. Affect would be made to water table and drainage along the road and would threaten Askham Bog wildlife area.	
12567	SF12	Support	This development would be contrary to policy of protecting green belt and building on brownfield sites. Moor lane is a v busy main road building 1250 new homes would add to already stretched amenities and infrastructure. And detrimental to the local community affecting crime rate, mess from construction, loss of natural habitat associated with Askham Bog and increased noise levels . Drainage is poor in the area and there would be an impact on surrounding wildlife and biodiversity.	
12625	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of SF12 as this protects the green belt and should stay protected.	
12629	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of this site as this development could have caused issues with, traffic, congestion, full schools, full surgeries and flooding.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
12671	SF12	Support	Support 'plan' in designating this site as green belt. The idea of 'ring of countryside where urbanisation is resisted' is especially applicable to land off Moor Lane. The land is significant due to proximity of Askham Bog special for its flora and fauna and important in the history of Yorkshire nature conservation. Sir David Attenborough recently visited and commented development would be a desecration on a par with building next to York Minster. Designation as green belt would ensure Askham Bog's ecological balance is not threatened, urbanisation can be resisted and contained, and residents are not deprived of leisure use and enjoyment of green open space and views to the Minster.	
12695	SF12	Support	Support the removal of Moor Lane [previously ST10 (sic.)]	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe
12745	SF12	Support	Woodthorpe cannot sustain additional housing. Council should protect green belt, preserving its openness and the setting of the historic city.	
12768	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of the land off Moor Lane, as it preserves the green belt, protects Askham Bogg and avoids more congestion.	
12817	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of this site and its allocation as green belt. This development would have caused problems with, the destruction of the Askham Bogg and the loss of Yorkshire wildlife. The designation as green belt will ensure: Askham Bog's ecology is balanced, pressure on infrastructure and services can be contained and residents are not deprived of the use of public foot paths and scenery.	
12829	SF12	Support	Delighted plan proposes to maintain greenbelt on land to south of Moor Lane Woodthorpe bordering Askham Bog. Housing development would create major traffic implications on local roads and further afield. An concerned about knock on effects of Askham Bog particularly the water table. There are drainage problems in the area affected each time development takes place.	
12924	SF12	Support	Supporting the removal of this site as it would have caused issues with: global warming, flood risks, high density development and Askham Bog SSSI should be protected as it cannot be replaced.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
13017	SF12	Support	<p>Support removal of this site. Feel strongly that protection of green belt should always form an integral part of plans to increase housing provision.</p> <p>General character of the area - Quality of local life is enhanced by scenery and proximity of unspoilt green space.</p> <p>Preservation of Askham Bog - Askham Bog is a highly valuable SSSI not just for York but of national and even worldwide significance. It is impossible to envisage how damage limitation measures could possibly mitigate the adverse affects of any development on the site and its biodiversity. Agricultural land surrounding the Bog is also essential to its preservation.</p> <p>Flood Risk - The area suffers localised flooding issues with clay soils making drainage slow. Properties currently benefit from the natural sink provided by Askham Bog so drainage gradually takes place. Any development would inevitably interfere with this natural drainage system.</p> <p>Pressure on local infrastructure and amenities - existing development in Woodthorpe has not been matched by corresponding development of infrastructure and amenities. Moor Lane is a much used route to the by-pass and becomes dangerously busy at peak times. Further development would inevitably put intolerable pressures on its capacity. Local residents have little choice of shops with very few facilities. Whilst Tesco and Lidl provide good choice they are not easily accessible to people without cars. Local shops become run down and offer limited choice this encourages nor car usage.</p> <p>Summary - acknowledge need to increase housing provision and support house building provided on sites that can support it without adverse consequences. Green belt should never be compromised and access to green open spaces is as important as bricks and mortar in building communities. The site has been assessed against the 5 purposes of green belt and it performs very strongly.</p>	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
13018	SF12	Support	I support removal of this site. Reasons being that adverse affect drainage to the SSSI of Askham Bog with land between old Moor Lane and new Moor Lane prone to flooding now because the natural drain is blocked and should drain to the Bog. Any building would adversely affect the drainage. Dog fouling on the bog is becoming a problem further population increase & their dogs will exacerbate the problem - the Bog needs to be uncontaminated. Development will result in overcrowding of an already built up area impacting on all local facilities. It will also impact on the local road infrastructure. Public transport is inadequate. Ring Road generates air pollution and this will increase from further vehicular use. This site forms a buffer to the West side of York retaining a rural ambiance and should be designated as green belt.	
13049	SF12	Support	land off Moor lane should be given green belt protection as regularly under threat for housing development. It is near to Askham Bog and will interfere with wildlife. Land regularly floods too. Developers should focus on brownfield sites.	
13057	SF12	Support	Strongly in favour of protecting the green belt especially SF12 due to its connection to Askham Bog that needs protecting. The Bog is a well known nature reserve nationally and should be protected from developers.	
13062	SF12	Support	Support the Draft Local Plan that protects land near Moor Lane Dringhouses (SF12) from any develop net in the future. This land is adjacent to the very important Askham Bog nature reserve and with no development nearby the reserve is successful in sustaining a safe and peaceful haven for countless birds, animals, insects and flora - development would have a devastating effect and it is vital/our duty to protect and maintain our surviving wildlife habitats.	
13126	SF12	Support	I want to see the land off Moor Lane given Green Belt protection.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
60	SF14	Support	Pleased that SF14 removed from revised draft LP - this is in line with the majority of Earswick residents that responded to resident's surveys as part of Earswick NP. There should be no green belt development in the parish boundary.	Earswick Parish Council
6042	SF14	Support	Great news SF14 has been removed from plan. The Earswick Neighbourhood Plan currently being developed is catering for a modest development supporting development on brownfield sites of which there are quite a few within the village.	
9308	SF14	Support	I support the removal of this site, as it will protect the Green Belt and to preserve the unique character and history of the area.	
9423	SF14	Support	The removal of this "safeguarded" Earswick site on the green belt is to be applauded. It would have been unsustainable and inappropriate, destroying the green belt and putting immense strain on already congested roads and drains etc.	
9426	SF14	Support	Removal of this site from safeguarding is both sensible and appropriate. There would have been issues with, loss of Green Belt, pressure on local services, drainage system and increased traffic and congestion.	
9432	SF14	Support	Especially pleased that the former site SF14 at Earswick has been taken out of the equation. The inappropriate development of some 95 hectares of agricultural land comprising site SF14 would have irrevocably and adversely impacted York's special heritage setting: the plan would have destroyed green wedges between the surrounding villages of Strensall and Huntington; created a coalescence between the three villages; and made an unacceptable contribution to urban sprawl beyond the limits of York's Outer Ring Road.	
9442	SF14	Support	Removal of this site is welcomed.	
9469	SF14	Support	Strongly request that site SF14 is completely removed. The site is a valuable area of green belt and also in an area where there are difficulties with roads and driving.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
9499	SF14	Support	It is pleasing to see that the land earmarked for safeguarding on the Green Belt land at Strensall near Willow Grove has been removed. This is a very sensible decision, given the difficulties of traffic congestion on the ring road adjacent to this site. Local problems such as drainage etc, which already cause problems would have been exacerbated.	
9645	SF14	Support	Support Local Plan to have no green belt development in Earswick and support removal of this site.	
9648	SF14	Support	Support Local Plan to have no green belt development in Earswick and support removal of this site.	
9652	SF14	Support	Support removal of this site from draft Local Plan that was intended to be safeguarded for future development	
9655	SF14	Support	Pleased that safeguarded site SF14 has been removed, it does however, leave status of site unclear in present proposals. It should clearly be designated as green belt.	
9777	SF14	Support	Support removal of SF14 in Earswick and assume will no longer be 'safeguarded' for future development	
9784	SF14	Support	Support the PSLP especially that SF14 at Earswick has now been removed. Objections to this development are; many urban sites have not yet been developed, proposals would be contrary to government guidelines, very special circumstances have not been provided for this development, infrastructure is inadequate with increase traffic causing congestion on the ring road, the council would need to improve massively the infrastructure before removal of valuable green belt land could take place.	
9929	SF14	Support	Local Plan should be commended for removing this safeguarded site as it would have resulted in more traffic congestion, loss of green belt and additional problems with overstretched services not least of which are the drains.	
10010	SF14	Support	Supporting the removal of site SF14 as the village would not be able to support such a development and would destroy the semi- rural nature of Earswick.	
10043	SF14	Support	Support the removal of SF14, as the Green Belt needs protection and there are enough brownfield sites in York for immediate / future housing needs.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
10201	SF14	Support	The removal of site SF14 for traffic, road, and maintaining the green belt around York.	
10251	SF14	Support	This is green belt land and should be protected to ensure village character of Earswick is preserved. Expansion could not be supported by existing infrastructure and would make worse dangerous junction into village. Designate as green belt. Report should make clear no need to safeguard these areas as they are green belt and fails to meet exceptional needs which are required to redesignate.	
10379	SF14	Support	Do not want any development on the green belt surrounding our village (Earswick)	
11365	SF14	Support	Supporting the removal of SF14 Earswick.	
11453	SF14	Support	I support the removal of site SF14.	
11467	SF14	Support	The removal of the SF14 from safeguarding and from the first local plan is very welcome. This site would have caused issues with, loss of the Green Belt, increased traffic, lack of local facilities, problematic drainage system and the site would overall be over whelming.	
11467	SF14	Support	The removal of this site from safeguarding and from the first Local Plan is very welcome. Development here would have destroyed the Green Belt and the Character of the area completely. In addition, the traffic congestion would have be absolutely unsustainable. The local facilities, including the already problematic drainage system, would also have been overwhelmed.	
12170	SF14	Support	Willow Bank, Earswick has neither the infrastructure and is in green belt land and it was correct to remove this site from the plan	
12171	SF14	Support	Supporting the removal of sites at Earswick.	
12174	SF14	Support	Supporting the removal of the Willow Grove site at Earswick village.	
12293	SF14	Support	Hopes that the proposed site in or near the present Earswick does not go ahead as it will mean the demise of Strensall as a village and Huntington as a small town.	
12654	SF14	Support	It is the position of the group that site SF14 should be removed from the draft Local Plan consultation process. This site would be unsustainable.	Keep Earswick Rural Action Group

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
12675	SF14	Support	Pleased that CYC have reduced housing need figures to reflect central government guidelines. Support removal of all safeguarded land from Local Plan and support proposal for no green belt development in Earswick. The policy of building brownfield sites first is the best policy.	
12691	SF14	Support	Support CYC in removal of safeguarded sites from the 'plan' also support no green belt development in Earswick PC that will allow the character of the village to be maintained and to avoid urban sprawl.	
12692	SF14	Support	Support CYC in removal of safeguarded sites from the 'plan' also support no green belt development in Earswick PC that will allow the character of the village to be maintained and to avoid urban sprawl.	
12698	SF14	Support	Support removal of this site. First use brownfield sites and protect greenfield land.	
12701	SF14	Support	Welcome removal of this safeguarded land and fully support the draft proposal to maintain the green belt in this area.	
13029	SF14	Support	Support the removal of SF14 from the Local Plan.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
13035	SF14	Support	Pleased that CYC have reduced housing need figure to reflect government guidance and to rely on ore realistic projections for economic growth. Supportive of unnecessary safeguarding of green belt land, the intention to preserve green wedges and recognition of importance to prevent coalescence of villages. There should be no green belt development at Earswick. Brownfield first policy is supported and express support intentions to reflect need to avoid overloading of infrastructure and public services to minimise congestion and environmental pollution.	
13119	SF14	Support	Support proposal for no development on the green belt within Earswick Village.	

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (names of individuals removed)
6663	SF15	Objection	<p>Object to the deletion of SF site, and suggest it is allocated as a housing site (noting new boundary proposed to incorporate land to the east for biodiversity enhancement/amenity/drainage area as needed). This is a well positioned site to immediate north of existing built form of Escrick and offers a highly sustainable opportunity to provide for additional much needed housing land at a well connected location. The site does not fulfil purposes of green belt and would represent a sensible option for CYC in forming its housing supply. It has a close physical relationship to the existing built form and is physically divorced from the wider green belt by the A19 and New Road which bound the site to west, north and partly to east. Additional buffering could be formed to screen the site further from the surrounding countryside. Previous representations made in respect of highways issues were made in July 2014 that demonstrated that the junction between the A19 and New Road has sufficient capacity to deal with additional residents, connectivity of the site to the existing built form can be improved for pedestrians/cyclists through use of an existing track to west of the site and through a potential new footpath/cycleway at sites south-west edge.. Site is visually and physically contained and surrounded by clear and logical permanent defensible boundaries. It is connected to the existing northern boundary of the village's built form and pedestrian/cycle links can be improved. Surface water drainage solution and provision of an additional biodiversity area at land west of Blanshard's Wood would enhance local biodiversity. Site is lower grade agricultural quality. Escrick contains a number of Grade II listed buildings, 2 Grade II* listed building and a Grade ii* Parkland but none of these would be impacted upon by the development. The site does lie close to the northern most extent of Escrick Conservation Area - any future development would clearly have to pay due regard to this but natural screening and the presence of the existing built form means that the impact would be minimal.</p>	Linden Homes Strategic Land
18	SF15	Support	<p>Support for the removal of SF15, which was felt to be disproportionate to both Escrick and other villages' allocations, poorly served by /accessible to York's infrastructure and services and detrimental to the character of Escrick.</p>	Escrick Parish Council

