

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED1</b>				
62	ED1	Obj	<p>FPC considers that Policies ED1, ED2 and ED3 should be consolidated into one policy. This policy: 1. Not allow the development of conference facilities unrelated to the university. Policy ED1 currently permits such uses which could significantly intensify usage of the University site to the detriment of surrounding communities. 2. The statement on student housing in Policy ED1 should be significantly strengthened. Instead of simply addressing the need (which in plain English only means looking at and understanding the issue) the University should meet the need arising from future expansion of student numbers. Also there should be no 'let-out clause' about economic prudence in the provision of student housing. The University should meet the needs it is generating in the same way as other forms of development, such as housing. The cost should not fall on nearby local communities. FPC recommends the following rewording: The University of York must demonstrate how the need will be met for any additional student housing which arises because of its future expansion of student numbers. Provision will be expected to be made on campus in the first instance but account can be taken of firm proposals by independent providers of bespoke student housing elsewhere in the City. 3. There should be no maximum limit on the provision of car-parking at the University, at least until the problem of parking on nearby residential roads has been resolved. FPC considers that the main way of doing this is an enforceable Travel Plan which actively discourages the use of private car. FPC suggests the following addition to the ED1: As part of any new significant proposals, the University shall enter into a Travel Plan with enforceable monitoring and delivery arrangements which discourages the use of the private car by staff, students and visitors and promotes the use of public transport. 4. The reference to Proposal ST27 should be deleted as this is a separate policy.</p>	Fulford Parish Council

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
187	ED1	Obj	There is a disconnect between the broad ambitions in the plan and how they are to be delivered. The Background and Vision acknowledges the importance of the City's two universities to the City's economic strength but later fails to allocate the land the University of York says it requires to accommodate its future growth. The Chamber fundamentally disagrees with the cautious approach to using the baseline forecast to inform the employment land requirements of the Plan.	York and North Yorkshire Chamber of Commerce
13520	ED1	Supp	Supports the policy which ensures that a university education is available to all.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED2</b>				
62	ED2	Obj	<p>FPC considers that Policies ED1, ED2 and ED3 should be consolidated into one policy. This policy: 1. Not allow the development of conference facilities unrelated to the university. Policy ED1 currently permits such uses which could significantly intensify usage of the University site to the detriment of surrounding communities. 2. The statement on student housing in Policy ED1 should be significantly strengthened. Instead of simply addressing the need (which in plain English only means looking at and understanding the issue) the University should meet the need arising from future expansion of student numbers. Also there should be no 'let-out clause' about economic prudence in the provision of student housing. The University should meet the needs it is generating in the same way as other forms of development, such as housing. The cost should not fall on nearby local communities. FPC recommends the following rewording: The University of York must demonstrate how the need will be met for any additional student housing which arises because of its future expansion of student numbers. Provision will be expected to be made on campus in the first instance but account can be taken of firm proposals by independent providers of bespoke student housing elsewhere in the City. 3. There should be no maximum limit on the provision of car-parking at the University, at least until the problem of parking on nearby residential roads has been resolved. FPC considers that the main way of doing this is an enforceable Travel Plan which actively discourages the use of private car. FPC suggests the following addition to the ED1: As part of any new significant proposals, the University shall enter into a Travel Plan with enforceable monitoring and delivery arrangements which discourages the use of the private car by staff, students and visitors and promotes the use of public transport. 4. The reference to Proposal ST27 should be deleted as this is a separate policy.</p>	Fulford Parish Council

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
238	ED2	Obj	Noting the increased recognition being given to University of York campus as an example of post-war university campus development (ref Pevsner) advocates change to policy as follows: <i>"Proposals for the redevelopment of existing buildings must be informed by an assessment of their architectural and historic interest and their contribution to the original campus design. Those buildings which are considered to e of architectural or historic interest should be retained or reused."</i>	Historic England
13513	ED2	Obj	Following statement in Policy ED2: is too modest: "maintenance of an adequate internal cycle and pedestrian network which links to entrance points and bus stops;" For the University to be safe, accessible, and non-polluted and, critically for pedestrian and cycle networks to be used second only to public transport , they need to be future-proofed i.e. "maintenance of an ambitious and future-proof internal cycle and pedestrian network which links to entrance points and bus stops;"	

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
13520	ED2	Supp	Supports the policy which ensures that a university education is available to all.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED3</b>				
1673	ED3	Comm	University growth supports economic growth in York as set out through the policy by increasing numbers of staff and businesses on campus.	O'Neills Associates on behalf of University of York
62	ED3	Obj	<p>FPC considers that Policies ED1, ED2 and ED3 should be consolidated into one policy. This policy: 1. Not allow the development of conference facilities unrelated to the university. Policy ED1 currently permits such uses which could significantly intensify usage of the University site to the detriment of surrounding communities. 2. The statement on student housing in Policy ED1 should be significantly strengthened. Instead of simply addressing the need (which in plain English only means looking at and understanding the issue) the University should meet the need arising from future expansion of student numbers. Also there should be no 'let-out clause' about economic prudence in the provision of student housing. The University should meet the needs it is generating in the same way as other forms of development, such as housing. The cost should not fall on nearby local communities. FPC recommends the following rewording: The University of York must demonstrate how the need will be met for any additional student housing which arises because of its future expansion of student numbers. Provision will be expected to be made on campus in the first instance but account can be taken of firm proposals by independent providers of bespoke student housing elsewhere in the City. 3. There should be no maximum limit on the provision of car-parking at the University, at least until the problem of parking on nearby residential roads has been resolved. FPC considers that the main way of doing this is an enforceable Travel Plan which actively discourages the use of private car. FPC suggests the following addition to the ED1: As part of any new significant proposals, the University shall enter into a Travel Plan with enforceable monitoring and delivery arrangements which discourages the use of the private car by staff, students and visitors and promotes the use of public transport. 4. The reference to Proposal ST27 should be deleted as this is a separate policy.</p>	Fulford Parish Council

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
238	ED3	Obj	<p>The future expansion of the University should be restricted to within the Campus East. Notwithstanding stated policy caveats, development could harm 2 elements which contribute to the special character and historic setting of the City, notably: the site's prominence in relation to the A64 - development would fundamentally change the relationship which the southern edge of York has with the countryside to its south. It will alter peoples perceptions when travelling along this route about the setting of the city within an area of open space, and may not be successfully mitigated through 'landscaping' (previously amounting to alien earth bunding); the expansion would alter the relationship of York to its surrounding villages, in terms of distance, scale and the fact that they are free-standing and clearly definable settlements. The development would reduce the gap between the city and ST15 to 1.6km.</p>	Historic England
386	ED3	Obj	<p>Question sustainability of the expansion site ST27 and adding to current parking pressures. 'Upwards of 15% by public transport' is far too low a target even allowing for walking and cycling for more local trips around the university area. Direct access from the A64 (in conjunction with ST15) is likely to promote a higher level of trips by car, again exacerbating parking pressures. It would be preferable to explicitly state that this allocation will be dependent on a public transport link as part of a master plan for both sites (ideally a tram connection to serve the new garden village, the extension and campus east linking in due course to campus west and the city centre.</p>	York Green Party

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
1673	ED3	Obj	Object to the disparity between the existing planning permission on campus east for up to 25ha of employment floorspace (likely to be 5.75ha / 57,500 sqm single storey) to 21,500 sqm (equating to 2.33 0 3.16 ha) in policy SS22 and ED3. The policy needs to be altered to clarify that the existing permitted 25 ha of business at 23% footprint on campus East stands plus 21,5000 sqm at the extension. Wording suggested: "up to 25 ha of knowledge-based businesses including research-led science park uses are permitted on the campus plus 21,500 sqm of such uses on the extension. With the agreement of the City Council, this capacity can be located across either or both the campus and extension". The contradiction between ED3 and EC1 needs to be clarified to allow the campus extension. Also the size of the allocation should revert to 2014 position (28 ha - option 1 presented).	O'Neills Associates on behalf of University of York
13520	ED3	Supp	Supports the policy which ensures that a university education is available to all.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group



Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED4</b>				
386	ED4	Obj	Whenever possible the first recourse for additional purpose built student accommodation should be on campus.	York Green Party

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
386	ED4	Obj	Not convinced that on-campus student provision should be reduced.	York Green Party

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
238	ED4	Supp	Support the requirement that future development needs to take account of its sensitive setting (opposite the City Walls, partly in Conservation area and including a number of listed buildings). Note that supporting text should also reference Policy D10.	Historic England
13520	ED4	Supp	Supports the policy which ensures that a university education is available to all.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Policy ED5				
38	ED5	Supp	Supports policy.	York St John

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED6</b>				
1675i	ED6	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
13103	ED6	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	ED6	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
13640	ED6	Comm	Welcomes inclusion of policy which addresses issue of providing new schools. Should be expanded to outline access to good schools and range of schools to choose from.	ESFA

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
12659	ED6	Obj	Concern for the lack of up to date evidence for school planning which should be demonstrated in a up to date Infrastructure Delivery Plan. There is no evidence of need as part of the 2017 evidence base. Seeks further clarity as to the intent and purpose of the policy and whether it is intended to deliver educational facilities as part of its strategic sites.	Arup on behalf of the York Central Partnership
386	ED6	Supp	Supports policy.	York Green Party
434	ED6	Supp	Committed to the provision of suitable on-site educational facilities and off-site contributions as necessary in accordance with the CIL Regulations 122 on ST1.	Rapleys LLP on behalf of British Sugar PLC
13213	ED6	Supp	The intent of the policy is supported.	GVA on behalf of the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA)
13520	ED6	Supp	Supports policy which ensures sufficient pre-school, primary and secondary education facilities including open space and sports areas are available to the growing population.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED7</b>				
1675i	ED7	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
6355	ED7	Comm	<p>Welcome the recognition within the Plan, within paragraph 1.57, policy DP1 and policy ED7, of the contribution Askham Bryan College makes to economic growth, addressing imbalances in the demographics of the district, and creating a quality educational offer within York. The wording of Policy ED7 is therefore supported. However, we are concerned with the extent of the designation shown on the Proposals Map, which we feel is out of date given the planning permissions that have been granted over the last few years and the actual extent of development on the College's campus. In particular, the area shown on the Proposals Map between the yellow shading and the A64 now has planning permission for a Wildlife and Animal Conservation Management. This area has a number of animal houses that are buildings of a permanent nature. There are proposals to extend the number of animal houses in the future. The area is an important teaching resource for students, because it provides them with the opportunity to learn, and care, for a wide variety of species. It also provides an opportunity for schools to access the teaching resource. This area is, therefore, an important element of the existing teaching facilities of the College, and so it should be included within the extent of the campus designation shown on the Proposals Map.</p>	<p>Directions Planning on behalf of Askham Bryan College</p>
13103	ED7	Comm	<p>Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.</p>	<p>Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees</p>
13104	ED7	Comm	<p>Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.</p>	<p>Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.</p>



Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
6355	ED7	Obj	Concerned with the extent of the Askham Bryan College designation on the Proposals Map, which are out of date, following planning permissions granted over the last few years and the extent of the College's campus. The area between the yellow shading and the A64 now has planning permission for a Wildlife and Animal Conservation Management area, which include a number of permanent buildings on site, as well as being a teaching area for College students and schools. Therefore, it should be within the College designation.	Directions Planning Consultancy OBO Askham Bryan College
6355	ED7	Supp	Support policy ED7, recognising the contribution Askham Bryan College makes to economic growth, creating a quality educational offer within York. The college has had a programme of expansion over recent years which will add to its growth and increasing number of students attending the college, and provide extra courses. The college is also expanding its current programme of wildlife conservation.	Directions Planning Consultancy OBO Askham Bryan College
13520	ED7	Supp	Supports the policy which ensures a wide range of further education is available to provide the growing need for different courses such as apprenticeships etc.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
<b>Policy ED8</b>				
1675i	ED8	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
13103	ED8	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	ED8	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
13520	ED8	Supp	Supports the use of education facilities for the community.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group