

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure?(Non FSC Comment)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York?(Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole.(Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you support the Council's recommendation to confirm the withdrawal of the proposed Knapton Showpeople site? (Site Reference 220)	Q6: Do you support the proposal for a Compressed Natural Gas Fuelling Station and Freight Consolidation Centre off the ring road at Askham Bryan? (Site Reference 253)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so?(Non FSC Comment)	Q8: Do you agree that the existing Local Plan proposals for more than 600 houses in Copmanthorpe is too much?(Non FSC Comment)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern?(FSC General Comment)
1306	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
4780	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Sensitive and sustainable development is important for York. The green belt is an essential backdrop to the historic city with all its visitors.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The case for land based wind farms has not been proved. Solar farms are more environmentally viable but should be dealt with sensitively on a case by case basis. The gas fuelling station and freight centre are entirely out of character and should be sited in a suitable ex industrial Brownfield site.	
6167	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Seem to want to ruin the historic city of York & to create a city to compete with the industrial cities in West Yorkshire. Why? We should be protecting this city.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Why do we need a Natural Gas Fuelling Station when we are not a major conurbation in York. The A64 is not a major trunk road servicing major conurbations.	This is not a city that requires this type of plan. It needs a plan to protect its heritage and surrounding greenbelt, not a plan to create a major northern city especially when it doesn't have the infrastructure (roads) to cope with the increase in housing.

9839	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	York does not have the infrastructure to support major housing developments. Road congestion at peak times especially will be unacceptable. Strongly object to the fuelling station at Askham Bryan on environmental and quality of life grounds.	
9963	Opposed	Yes	Yes	The existing infrastructure is not capable of taking the extra traffic as a norm. It will cause additional problems within city traffic (more road and bridge closures). If traffic is further restricted to city - the city will die even further as a shopping centre. Is the centre purely for tourists?	Yes	No	No	No	The access to the proposed Freight Consolidation Centre/refuelling centre is not suitable. If new access is created from A1230 then another accident black spot will be created - similar to Bilbrough Top. If via A64/A1237 roundabout then it is not capable of taking the extra traffic from the new site; the doubling in size of intake to AB college; the new animal management centre (open to public at weekends); polo field; horse trials; additional 600 houses in Manor Heath, Copmanthorpe.	
10544	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Do not approve of any large scale building on green field sites. We are ruining our countryside.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The ring road in this area being only single carriageway cannot accommodate the extra traffic this gas fuelling station would bring. Do not need any more houses in Askham Bryan, already have trouble with electricity and domestic water supply.	
10864	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	No	Yes	Yes		

10979	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10981	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Too much emphasis on housing. No detail on infrastructure/amenities and possible phasing. Support structure is crucial - do not want to end up with another under thought ring road situation.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Do people understand what green belt and conservation really mean. Maintain these areas, they are our heritage.	
10982	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Should make full use of Brownfield sites before even considering Greenfield sites, also need full consideration of infrastructure requirements for any significant development.	Don't Know	No	Don't Know	Yes		
10985	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Don't agree with any of the Local Plan proposals. Green belt should remain green belt.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		

10987	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	Gas fuelling station - have grave concern over extra traffic on an already congested road.	No	No	Yes	Yes	Outline plan re 26 houses in Askham, Richard on a green field site - almost increasing the village by one third. This type of development would spoil this peaceful village. The prison is due to close later this year which is another concern.	
-------	------------------	----	-----	--	----	----	-----	-----	---	--