

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you agree that the amount of housing proposed in the Boroughbridge Road area is too much and represents urban sprawl and Greenbelt erosion? (Site 779)	Q6: Do you support plans to increase development on the Northminster Business Park side of the Ring Road? (Site Reference ST19 and SF8)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comment)
1582	Opposed			There is a need to consider all development in the context of protecting the environment and reducing the carbon footprint. Make more use of empty properties in York, particularly above shops.	Yes	No			Why were previous plans on green belt not ratified - it would have given green belt greater protection.	
1597	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	To reduce congestion on the A1237 (when money is available) we feel that flyovers would be a far better option than dualling. It appears to have worked on the A1 South of Yorkshire - a road that was already dualled.	
2603	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Don't Know	No	Yes		
2607	Strongly Supportive		Yes		Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		

2681	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Strongly opposed to any more development in or around the green belt. It is unnecessary as there is enough Brownfield capacity and more should be done to bring sub standard housing stock into residential use.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No further development should take place in NW York until the near gridlock conditions on the A1237 have been sorted out on a permanent basis. Vehicles burning valuable fuel and even worse polluting the atmosphere in this rural belt.	
2874	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
2880	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Rat run from Red Lion to Millfield Lane.

3043	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	already witnessed the destruction of rural/agricultural community	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	concern over loss of vast areas of prime agricultural land given possibility of war, food shortages, ever increasing populations and climate change.	Destruction of the green belt.
3278	Opposed	Yes	Yes	The former civil service site was earmarked for housing years ago and also the sugar beet factory site. Why has no plan for housing been followed through.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Brownfield sites should be used for housing and/or employment use before considering green fields.	
3481	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
3502	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
3507	Don't Know	Yes			Don't Know	Yes	No	Yes	People have to be housed so there is nothing wrong with using the Boroughbridge Rd area provided extra schools and medical facilities are provided. Also a new link road would need to be provided, but to connect where I don't know. There are already enough cars on Boroughbridge Rd.	
3565	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
3623	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Whilst agree there is a need for housing, believe it should be within existing city boundaries and that prior to building, infrastructure improvements must be made. The north York ring road is not capable of taking the extra traffic proposed as it stands,	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	There are too many sites in a small area, where roads are already clogged with traffic. Infrastructure improvements (roads, schools) must be made prior to development.	
3628	Strongly Supportive	Yes	No	Houses are needed. The plan is good provided infrastructure is improved accordingly.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
3735	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Inappropriate development proposed around York. Greenbelt land must also be protected.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		

3747	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		
5950	Opposed	Don't Know	Don't Know		Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes		
6190	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Development of Boroughbridge Rd/British Sugar using green belt is totally inappropriate. Is old Manor School to be reopened?	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Boroughbridge Rd development is out of proportion with the last 20 years of development.	
6203	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Trying to turn York into another Leeds.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	It is all too much, too soon.	
6425	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	New housing would normally reflect the local need. Imposing scheme against our wishes. Inadequate road system will not cope with the large increase in traffic which would follow the housing development.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	11 acres of green belt land at Askham Bryan allocated for Compressed Natural Gas Refuelling station and Freight Consolidation Centre would interfere with the main roads.	
9331	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Poppleton & indeed York as a whole will suffer greatly by the Local Plan proposals.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10736	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	All development should be restricted to the area bounded by the roads A64 & A1237. Development outside this area should only be permitted if no suitable land is available within it.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10752	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	very strongly object to this vast, unsustainable development on our greenbelt land. It is completely inappropriate and will swamp our village and infrastructure. It is urban sprawl.	Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know		

10783	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	While appreciating the need for local jobs and housing, any development should be supported by the appropriate infrastructure. Putting up more traffic lights, making all traffic travel at 20mph and paving green belt to build more park and ride sites is simply not good enough.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	safeguard' term is 'double speak'. Infrastructure plans first.	
10901	Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	A lot of development puts more traffic on the already inadequate A1237. Instead of improving the roundabouts the whole road needs to be dual carriageway.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		
10989	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes		
10990	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Too many houses adjacent to Boroughbridge Rd and opposed to more development around Poppleton Garden Centre and Northminster Business Park. The roads will be even more blocked up by motor traffic.	Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know	Please explain what 'strategic green space' means.	
10991	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know		Support transport policy in general - 20mph limits, park and ride and attempts to control city centre traffic.
10992	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The infrastructure is totally inadequate. More schools, shops, play areas and roads will be needed.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10993	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know		
10994	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Insufficient detail about the attendant facilities required to sustain massive development - lack of schools, road congestion, health service facilities (GP & Hospital) & car parking.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Existing development sufficient and no requirement for further sites, other than Brownfield sites. Preservation of green belt and Conservation Areas paramount.	
10996	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10998	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		

11001	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Pressure on local amenities. Traffic on ring road - will need to double in size because already overcrowded.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11002	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Local Plan seems to have taken no thought to the infrastructure to support the building plans and just seems intend on filling every available pocket of green space.	No	No	Yes	Yes		Blaigowrie is yet another infill into an over capacity area - length of time to get an appointment at surgery is disgraceful.
11005	Supportive	Yes	Don't Know	Cities are probably the most important unit in a knowledge economy. So expansion of York is probably important to the regions future. However, the plans will the destroy the environmental advantage that York has over other locations without giving alternative decisive advantages.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Should have solar on every south facing roof before using farm land. The Local Plan should enhance areas of bleak marginal land and absolutely prohibit development on areas that already make York a beautiful place to live e.g. Blairgowrie in Poppleton.	
11007	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Too much traffic on roads in this area already. Can't handle what we have already. Where are all the children in new houses going to go to school. Manor School already oversubscribed.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Poppleton is a lovely village far enough out of York to feel separate. More and more homes will just end up like everywhere else.	
11011	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	It is only going to ruin the overall beauty and character of the city. It will affect tourism for the worse as York will look exactly like any other city in the UK. The pollution levels will rise and there is not enough money spent on the infrastructure to support these huge and unnecessary plans.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	To add more traffic, industry and housing to Poppleton would destroy the village and it would become just an added suburb to the sprawl they are creating in York. Greenbelt land is paramount to the protection of the environment.	