

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt?(Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure?(Non FSC Comment)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York?(Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you support the Council's recommendation to confirm the withdrawal of the proposed Knapton Showpeople site? (Site Reference 220)	Q6: Do you support the Council's plans for a 6 acre solar farm on greenbelt land between the villages of Rufforth and Knapton? (Site Reference 772)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q8: Do you support the proposal for a Compressed Natural Gas Fuelling Station and Freight Consolidation Centre off the ring road at Askham Bryan? (Site Reference 253)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern?
2356	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No		
2814	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Specifically concerned about the protection of the green belt between Rufforth and York essential to maintaining the character of the village.	Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know	Solar farm is unnecessary and a further intrusion into the greenbelt.	
3784	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Greenfield land is being eroded by ill conceived and thoughtless rulings. It must be remembered that once its gone it can't be reinstated. Brownfield sites are available. Keep the green grass green. No more industry.	Yes	No	Yes	No	Causing loss of amenity to what were very pleasant rural villages by imposing huge industries, not least the vast tip and recycling area a Rufforth/Knapton made worse by solar farm and a conservation village Askham Bryan to be expected to accommodate a CNG station is incomprehensible.	
5526	Opposed	No		This plan is all about destroying the greenbelt. Why not use Harewood When as landfill is coming to an end?	Yes	No	No	Don't Know	Need to justify/explain proposals.	Askham land was granted for use by the Conqueror to the Archbishop of York. Allow these villages some vestige of peace and history. Use old industrial sites, not greenbelt.
5952	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Why use greenbelt land?	Yes	No	Yes	No	Why is greenbelt land being used for Solar farm?	
8033	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No		
10555	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes		Relieved that the show people site proposed has been withdrawn. Concerned by proposed solar panel farm footprint.	

10553	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Questions need for scale of development, infrastructure to support it.	Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know		
10933	Opposed	Yes	Yes	There is ample industrial/grey land available for solar. Rufforth is an easy target. We have heavy traffic and smells from the tip to deal with and have lost bus services.	Yes	No	Yes	No		
10934	Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		Yes	Don't Know	Yes		Need more information to form a decision on CNG and Freight centre. Think the idea is to transfer goods from big HGVs to smaller vehicles for easier distribution around the city, these would be powered by a 'greener' gas. If this is the sole reason for this plant then would be in favour of it.	
10937	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concerned with the increased volume of traffic through Rufforth that would accompany the proposed developments and support the idea of a bypass round the village.	Yes	No	Don't Know	No	Traffic through Rufforth is awful especially at peak times.	
10940	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Proposals are unsustainable, roads are gridlocked and using greenbelt is unacceptable.	Yes	No	Yes	No		The proposed 24 houses behind the school in an area with a constant drain problem is madness.
10942	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Utilise Brownfield sites and in fill first then consider areas within outer ring road.	Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know		
10944	Opposed	Don't Know	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No		
10946	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Rufforth has had its air share of local proposals. Harewood When was supposed to be temporary - now its permanent. A rubber recycling plan on the airfield? A solar farm in greenbelt land - definitely not!	Yes	No	Yes	No		
10948	Opposed	No	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No		
10949	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No		

10955	Strongly Opposed	Don't Know	Yes	Not in greenbelt, which should be protected.	Yes	No	Yes	No	Not in greenbelt, which should be protected.	Planning should be restricted to Brownfield sites.
10956	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know		
10958	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Yes	No		