

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Do you agree that the greenbelt land to the northwest of Stockton Hermitage is an inappropriate location for a solar farm? (Site Reference 750)	Q6: Do you support proposals to remove 220 acres of land from the greenbelt adjacent from Earswick and 'safeguard' it for future development? (Site Reference 810)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comment)
735(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Whilst I accept the need for some degree of development the current proposals cannot be supported by the existing infrastructure; retail, amenities, health, education and road systems. As a consequence the proposals are flawed.	Yes	No	Yes		Concerns lies in the subject matter expires of these making the decisions as the York ring road (N Side) has been extensively worked up to provide little improvement - delays continue.	
1710	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Labour are determined to build more houses which should be encourage, however there should be more done to develop Brownfield sites. Green belt should be preserves at all costs.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	2,000 houses on greenbelt? Outrageous. 2,000 houses near Earswick? Are the council mad? Try dulling the ring road first. What about the ability of Strensall residents to reach the ring road.	Thanks to Julian for your efforts to get the Brecks Lane application called in. Strensall is not saying @no more houses' we just want it in the right place with the infrastructure to support it and not on greenbelt.
2486	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Prepared by faceless & thoughtless nerds	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Gross incompetence by L Officials	Poor value for money/taxpayers all local planning attempted by lack lustre departments.
2846(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Maintain green belt. Brownfield sites should be developed on before green belt. A1237 cannot cope with existing traffic. Agrees with Strensall Parish council response in full and attached to survey.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Opposed to big developments in the area. A1237 already very busy most of the week. There are other Brownfield sites around York.	
4242	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Opposes further development in green spaces and villages like Strensall	Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Opposed to travellers site - traveller let them travel.	
4394(i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	York is big enough 22,000 homes will put great pressure on the hospital etc. Not to mention the roads.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Strensall is too big now and struggles with congestion in the village also its water supply and sewage is at a max.	

5834	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The whole of the plan is determined by the report conducted by Arup re the future jobs to be created in and around York. C.850 annually would be created increased to 1,000 by Labour. Which leads to 15-20,000 new houses. Are there the kind of jobs to be create mortgage payments - most in the service industries and part time.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	How many houses/plots could be built within the ring road - not using greenbelt just to increase developers profits. As its easier than Brownfield sites.	
5959	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Labour don't understand that building all these houses in and around small villages will make so much more expense in extending schools and much more traffic. These things need to be thought about first.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Don't agree with building more houses in Strensall. The school cant cope with more pupils. Traffic going through the village is ban enough and will get worse. Don't have enough amenities to cope with all these extra houses.	
6501	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
9114(ii)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	No need to use green belt sites when there is Brownfield sites to be used.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	No need for a safe guard plan.	Concerned about lack of progress to open stations in Haxby & Strensall. Priority over housing
9317	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Object to the safeguard of 220 acres of land east of Earswick for future development when classified as green belt. Infrastructure is inadequate road, sewage, schools and medical facilities.	
9328(iii)	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Opposed to Willow Grove site. Reasons; Susceptible to flooding Traffic congestion Local plan does not look at brown field sites Influx of c.10,000 new residents infrastructure would not cope	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		

9418(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	No thought given to provision of extra road space, schools etc. York Hospital would not cope either.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	The outer ring road between Hopgrove and Wiggington Road in particular is already over loaded- especially since the opening of the Vanguard Shopping venue. Jockey Lane has become as bad due to said new shopping area creates @grid lock' on the Sainsbury round about at monks cross.
9423(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes		It will completely alter the charecter of the city of York. It will no longer be a unque medieval cathedral city but sprawling enormous satellite town of Leeds	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Traffic - on ring road already busy & has increased as a result of Vangarde. Schools - unable to cope with extra capacity York hospital - unable to cope with extra
9426(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Terrible idea. Ring road cannot cope with increased traffic. The Earswick local amenities will be overrun.
9433(ii)				Yorks green belt must be left maintained for furture generations not used for short term gain.					Impact on Earswick would desimate the village and add congestion.
9433(iii)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	concered by plan, once greenbelt gone its gone and a precedent has been set.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Enormous extra stain on already stretched services.
9442(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9489(i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	16,000 houses could mean 16,000 cars. The infrastructure could not cope. Also a possible 32,000 people.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Make full use of the brownfield land and not build on good quality agricultural green belt. York has plenty of brownfield building land.
9557(i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	York has vast areas of "brown field" sites these should be used for housing and industrial use before greenfield sites.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Witin Earswick I support Development in The Willow Grove area - with this current village having less than 400 houses any development should be limited to no more than 200 houses. Local facilities should added to wit any increase in housing.
9645(i)	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	Who is going to pay for the extra infrastructure necessary - schools, healthcare, roads etc. An extra 2000 houses could mean an extra 2000 children. Where are 2000 extra jobs going to come from.					Concerns of population increase - imigration.

9655	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Use of brownfield sites should be optimised before though of using green belt land. Infrastructure should be in place before development. Use of solar energy is ineffective and only benefits the landowner.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Further sites should only be considered when plan and brownfield sites have been developed. Infrastructure changes especially to roads, schools and drainage.
9681 (i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Understand extra housing is needed - it is the scale of the proposals that is alarming. York traffic is a problem - the infrastructure needs improving before building is started	Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	Think there is room in Earswick for some new houses to be built but not so many as 3,000. (question 6)
9709(i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	No thought to the infrastructure required to sustain amount of housing proposed. Not enough school places, doctors, dentists etc. Already at full capacity on the roads. Need to focus on maintaining existing roads and using unoccupied buildings.	Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Green belt land should be protected until all brown belt land is exhausted. Earswick Village will be ruined with proposed housing, road network is already clogged at commuter times and weekends.
9924(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	Greenbelt land should not be built on, or 'put aside' for future building. Investment in bus services, shops/amenities needs to come first before more houses. Investment in local roads (re-surfacing) should be a priority before increasing traffic from new builds.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Don't Know	Further sites just appears to be a way of reducing greenbelt around York. The green belt is part of the tourist appeal to the wider city of York area. There needs to be more thought put into renewable energy and appropriate sites for wind and solar power installations.
10135	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	
10140	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Rubbish	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
10223(ii)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The roads are full. The proposed Moore Building East of Strensall Road will ruin/destroy the environment of Earswick village. Its too big and will overwhelm local facilities. Wrong size, wrong place.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Safeguarding is a provocative term which means the green belt is being attacked.
10700(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The plan is not for local people.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Roads already grid locked.
11027	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Opposed to development due to existing traffic congestion and the impact it would have on wildlife, service (GP & Schools). Are brownfield sites still available?

11030	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Opposed to the use of greenfield sites. Concerned about existing congestion and infrastructure not being equipped.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Concern that has reached population capacity for infrastructure.	concerns about No5 bus route being infrequent compared to other local services elsewhere.
11034	Strongly Supportive	No	No	Supports the need for housing, adequate affordable housing for young people with an older than average population.	No	Yes	No	No	concerned about cost of living in York	
11036	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Use brownfield sites and more consideration for infrastructure, roads, traffic, other transport, schools and activities.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Don't Know		Transport - Ease traffic to York form Strensall re open Strensall train station (haxby). Cycle track from Strensall to ring road better crossing of ring road. Road repairs Flaxton/Strensall road, Huntington Road etc.
11037	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Appalling, ill thought out and unplanned. No consideration for the local community and effect on traffic/schools/ring road.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11038	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Opposed to adding on more & more housing to existing developments to existing villages where the road network, sewage and drainage capacity are already overloaded. Also GPs/Schools etc without upgrades.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Annexation from Rydale was not wanted by Strensall Villages and now York City want to make urban extension of the city.	Abomination that the Parish Council have to beg for money to pay professionals to object the Becks Lane Linden Homes Development.
11041	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Supports the need for more affordable smaller housing, though not in surrounding villages would like to see the use of derelict inner city land used to prevent gridlocked roads.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	We cannot keep taking over more greenbelt and building on it. Would like to see a slow down in population, migration.	
11043	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	The plan as a whole is ill thought through. No green belt should be built on until all brownfield sites have been used.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Strongly object to the development of Strensall village. Village is already at capacity, more building will make it impossible.	
11045	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt should be protected. Other areas should be used first - Rowntrees factory could be flats for first time buyers, also terraces. Lots of infill areas without overstretching infrastructure.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Vale of York windfarms should be in hilly areas to be effective and not intrusive.	Personal view is that local government councillors should not be politically motivated but primarily there because they want to improve the area where they live.

11048	Opposed	Yes	Yes	In the last 2 years there has been a noticable increase in traffic going through Strensall to town. More housing would increase congestion.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		Better bus service to Strensall. Reduction in licencing hours for public houses in Strensall due to music through open windows.
11050	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	There are too many people in the area. Roads chocked, not where to park, pathetic road surfaces.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		Recent road repairs to Oak tree way are unsatisfactory. The A1237 should be upgraded to dual carriage way. Parking charges in York are criminal.
11052	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11054	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Realise some houses have to be built - but not on green field sites. Local proposals would totally ruin the village of Strensall. What next? Supermarket and car park?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Any 'proposals' for further sites should be binned.	
11056	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	It will get nodded through as usual regardless of what anyone says. Conservative council could 'slow it down but this is high unlikely.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	There is no way the roads, schools, Hospitals can cope with this increase - what about sewage!?	
11058	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11060	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Use brown belt sites	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Greent belt must be protected.	
11061	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11062	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes		The whole of Middlecroft Drive looks like a plough field it needs resurfacing. Council taxes too high.
11064	Opposed		Yes	Far too ambitious, too much use of greenbelt land, not enough consideration to infrastructure, services, transport etc.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Totally agaisnt the expansion of the Bricks Lane site in Strensall.	
11066	Strongly Supportive	No	No	For the future we need to build more houses. We need more family homes. If dont build more 50years people will live in vans like ghettos. More homes so more people. Then you get more shops, schools, better transport so on.	No	Yes	No	No	We need wind and solar farms.	
11067	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		When is the ring road going to get funding need to convert into a dual carriage way. A nightmare!

11068	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	The proposed development on 'safeguard' land at Earswick is laughably disproportionate. Some monest developemt East of Huntington Road might be acceptable given adequate infrastructure (say +15% of present village population).	
11069	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	No	Yes	The 102 homes, on green belt land, north of Brecks Lane, Strensall, will cause major traffic issues, local primary school already bursting at the seams, main street shops, Strensall cant handle population of the village already.	
11071	Strongly Supportive	No	No	Sever housing shortage, especially for young people.	Strongly Disagree	No	No	No	Typical NIMBY	
11072	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The ring road & A64 already unbearable. The proposals for new homes he traffic round york will become a nightmare.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Concerned at the selection of areas around york. Little or no cosultations/publicity. Pins on a map or are giving local landowners a masive pay cheque to sell land for planning. York is a green city and need to remain so.	Feels Planning office is biased and gives no consideration to local residents. The landowner who sold land for clifton moor is now being given another huge pat on the back with housing near Skelton/Wigginton. Seems totally corrupt.
11075	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Experince has shown hat the results of this type of action will have a detremental effect on quality of life, investment and socail problems. Examples Kings Lynn, Northampton and Peterborough all adopted similar actions and unforseen problems have arisen - unemployment and crime have increased.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	They are the views of 'half wit'	
11080	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We dont need any further development around Strensall. The village is overcrowded, school, traffic, drain and sewers.	
11082	Opposed	Yes	Yes	The local plan is politically drawn up. Should focus on current residents requirments.		No	Yes	Yes	Wind power is a "no no" Solar is sustaible for the future. Sites chosen should be sympathically.	Only one bus destination, waht about routs to Monks Corss and Clifton Moor. Facilities in Strensall cannot support existing resident head count.
11085	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		

11087	Opposed	Yes	Yes	All brown sites should be used first. Earswick is a small country village and we want it to stay like that.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11091	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Strensall is at breaking point - cant accomodate increase in traffic. Wildlife is being affected on the flood plain	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Brecks Lane - Please dont	
11093	Strongly Opposed	Yes	No	The river foss can take much more surface water.	Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11095	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Not thought through by labour. The roads cant cope with the housing developments	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11097	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Overall feeling is that very large numbers of houses are being planned- mostly on 'greenbelt' land - and not indication is given that there is understanding of far less provision for, the huge problems that will resort due to the samping of the infrastructure and the drop in quality of life for exisiting residents. Strensall and Earswick are main ones which concern.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Strensall Development takes no account of the main street is already an overcrowded and over parked bottleneck. There are no proposals which would allow it to deal with substantial additional traffic. The school is already very large.	
11101	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Do not agree with building on greenbelt land. Also in the east of Strensall the school is ful. Roads are not suitable for more housing.	
11102	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	too many houses, young people struggle to get a mortgage. Too much buy to let. Many privately rented houses do not keep gardens tidy can lower value of other houses	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	local views on planning permission seem to be ignored	
11103	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Jobs must be a priority! What good are houses without jobs? How will the inadequate infrastructure cope. York ring road (north side) is critically congested already.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The infrastructure is unable to sustain such a plan. What about sewage? Congestions? Schools? Where would everyone whork?	
11104	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	No	Yes	Yes		
11105	Strongly Opposed		Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11106	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11107	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11106	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	No	Yes		

11109	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The plans impact detrimentally the 'rural suburbs' but do not offer any investment nor do they safeguard the communities and thoughtful valuable development.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Labours plans are ill considered.	
11110	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11111	Strongly Opposed		Yes		No	No	Yes	No		
11112	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11113	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The plan for extra housing in Strensall is wrong. Will need extra shops, zebra crossings and total infrastructure cannot take it. Further more the local school is already full.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11114	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York is being saturated with shopping and housing developments. This is not enhancing the historic tourist trade.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	There were plans for development at the old grain stores in Rawcliffe. Is the land there going to stand as wasteland or why do the council not use this.	
11115	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		
11116	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	No	Yes	Yes	The solar farm is a good idea and will not be the eyesaw of a wind farm.	
11117	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Dont agree with labour at all	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
11118	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Strensall cannot cope with extra traffic, buses struggle to get through, no parking.	Yes	No	No	Yes		
11119	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		
11120	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Don't Know		
11121	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11122	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The proposed development in Earswick would cause chaos on Strensall Road, traffic is busy anyway. Also houses built in Strensall is too much on local school numbers. Why spoil green belt land.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11123	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Build up of houses leads to a build up of traffic on an inadequate ring road (and A64)
11124	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	too many houses improve A1237 first. Cycle routes from Strensall to Huntington and Wigginton Road to Clifton Moor. To much green belt lost.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Don't Know		

11125	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	There is enough brownfield land within the ring roads to sustain required housing developments for the next 15 years. The infrastructure is already struggling with new developments like Vanguard.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Agree to small developments that fill-in pockets of land but a plan on this scale of 2,000 houses will completely spoil the village and community /infrastructure. Greenbelt should remain as such unless there is no alternatives.	Believe Labour are not working in the interest of the York residents and the recent issues with Lendal Bridge, Inner City developments and road developments prove this. They do not manage subcontractors and work over-runs and are costly mistakes.
11126	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Plan is appalling, the amount of traffic already in the area causes chaos, especially at peak times. We dont have the sewers or drainage. Schools are already full. It will take away the charecter and peace and quiet of Old Earswick and this is precisley our reason for choosing this area, and paying a premium for a house so that we could retire here. York will finish up having all villages joined together.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Appalling	s