

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comments)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comments)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comments)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comments)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area to what extent do you agree that the Elvington Traveller Site proposal should be withdrawn on account of it's rural greenbelt location? (Site Reference 747)	Q6: Do you support the Council's plans to remove a further 12.5 acres from Wheldrake's greenbelt and 'safeguard' it for future long term development? (Site Reference 752)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comments)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comments)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comments)	Any further issues of concern? 9FSC General comments)
1210	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt should be protected. Building outside the bypass should not be considered. Why expand villages when schools are full and employment is elsewhere thus increase traffic.	Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2702(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	No more wind farms on land please, plenty more at sea. Solar is fine on land as long as reasonable size e.g. Roofs of buildings etc.	
3864	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concerns for Pilcher Land, N.Selby Mine & Elvington traveller site.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Further sites should all be abolished.	Roads are too congested already.
3882	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
3920	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt must be maintained for future. Interest rate increase will mean no one will be able to afford to buy, why build?	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Concern at development at bottom of garden. Loss of nature will be devastating. (Yo19 6AY)	
6209	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Absurd Plan - 75 homes in Wheldrake. Means 75-150 children needing schooling, where? 150 more cars	Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Its not necessary.	
10004(i)	Supportive	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
10822	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11161	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11162	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11164	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	What is the point of Green belt land when there is demand to use it. Brownfield sites ignored	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Wheldrake has neither roads, shops, schools etc to incorporate such expansion.	When will local council listen to views?
11166	Strongly Opposed		Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes			
11167	Strongly Opposed		Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11168	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11170	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11171	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11172	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		

11174	Opposed	Yes	No	Plan without proper local consultation.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11175	Opposed	Yes	Yes	need starter homes for young people/families	Agree		Yes	Don't Know		
11176	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes		
11177	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Agree	No	Yes	No		
11178	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Building at East field would mean making Beck Lane 2 way traffic and would cause problems. Would not cope, nor would pumping station. Dangerous for children going to school.	
11179	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	How will village school have capacity for extra children	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Disagree with proposals.	
11180	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No		Yes		
11181	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11182	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Local infrastructure cannot sustain such developments	Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes	keep village as a village please.	
11183	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	development should be confined to brown field sites no impact on green belt land.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Current infrastructure i Wheldrake, Elvington and Earswick areas not suitable for expansion. Schools and roads at capacity.	
11184	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	no justification for this number of houses. Nor wind turbines. Walled City should stand proud in the vale of York	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	should not second guess the long term - worrying.	concerned lack of encouragement from the council to fill empty spaces in city centre.
11185	Opposed		Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	12.5 acres at East field Wheldrake. Means 500 houses 1.5 children per dwelling. Has any one considered the effect on the infrastructure in the village.	
11186	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11187	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11188	Supportive	Yes	No		Strongly Agree	Yes	Don't Know	No		
11193	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concerns of state of land safe guarded for future development.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	No		Why when planning consent is granted is the builder not required to put % of sales into local infrastructure etc. Road repairs should include e kerbs to stop large lorries breaking them.
11195	Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	Number of empty commercial properties - consequence of out of town & online shopping. More profitable to build on green field sites, makes sense to convert existing buildings.	Don't Know	No	Yes	No		
11196	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Not convinced by need for new homes. Council should do everything to protect green belt.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	set aside' means even more development.	

11197	Supportive	No	No	Aware need for new homes - should include homes suitable for those on welfare, young adults etc.	Strongly Agree	Yes	No	No	believe traveller site should be used for housing development instead. Traveller site would cause disruption and discomfort in local community.	Support development but believe developments should be sympathetic to current communities. Provision of amenities included.
11199	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt should be left as green belt. Appear to be many brown field sites to be utilised.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
11200	Strongly Opposed	No			Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Please keep green belt as it is. Don't want too many houses as already overcrowded.	
11201	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	No body wants traveller sites, they don't need them and why should we pay for them/have them on the door step.	
11202	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Should develop brown field sites opposed to encroaching on greenbelt. Opposed to Whinthorpe. Massive impact on B1228 & Grimston interchange. East field Wheldrake capacity at primary school wouldn't sustain large influx. Travellers site in Elvington against it.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		CoYC should spend council tax on essential services, roads, schools etc. Rather than 'vanity projects' near the minster and kings square.
11204	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	So many houses is neither necessary or desirable in city of York's size. Focus on creating better paid sustainable jobs. Rural villages should not be overloaded with unnecessary house building.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Very unhappy with 12.5 acres of land at East field 'safe guarded' village cannot sustain any current long term development.	Standard of roads need to improve significantly.
11205	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Plan seems to reach too far into the future. Vague proposals still argued as fixed proposals.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		
11206	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Strategically sited in areas where labour support is minimal. The sitting of travellers sites in Elvington prime example.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Wheldrake cannot support an increased population. I had to send my children to Escrick school, 10 years ago. Will ruin village. Infrastructure is struggling now.	Disgusted with local socialist council.
11214	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11221	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		