



City of York Local Plan Modifications Consultation 2023

QUESTION SUMMARIES

DATA TRENDS

INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

All Pages ▼

Respondent #251 ▼



COMPLETE

Started: Thursday, March 23, 2023 12:45:52 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, March 23, 2023 1:25:42 PM
Time Spent: 00:39:49
IP Address: 86.143.76.175

Page 1: Survey Information

Q1

Do you confirm that you have read and understood the privacy notice? You must select 'Yes' in order to take the survey.

Yes

Page 2: Register for consultation

Q2

Your name:

Virginia Riggall

Q3

Contact details: Please provide email and/or address

Address

City/town

Post code

Email address

Q4

Do you wish to be notified when the City of York Local Plan is adopted by the Council? If yes we will use contact details provided above

Yes

Page 3: Your response

Q5

To which consultation document does this response relate? Please note, links shown beside each option are for associated documents.

Proposed Policy Map Modifications - link

Share Link

COPY

459 responses



SIGN UP FREE



Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Section 2: Vision

Q7

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Section 3: Spatial Strategy

Q8

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Section 4: Economy and Retail

Q9

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8: Section 5: Housing

Q10

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Section 6: Health and Wellbeing

Q11

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Section 7: Education

Q12

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Section 8: Placemaking, Heritage, Design and Culture

Q13

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Section 9: Green Infrastructure

Q14

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Share Link

COPY

459 responses

Respondent skipped this question

Page 13: Section 10: Managing Development in the Green Belt

Q15

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 14: Section 11: Climate Change

Q16

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 15: Section 12: Environmental Quality and Flood Risk

Q17

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 16: Section 14: Transport and Communications

Q18

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 17: Section 15: Delivery and Monitoring

Q19

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 18: Proposed Policy Map Modifications

Q20

To which modification does this response relate?

PMM50 - St Peters School (Policies Map North) link

Page 19: New evidence documents

Q21

To which evidence document does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 20: Comment Form

Q22

Do you support or object to the proposed modification(s)?

Object

Q23

If you object, please select your reason from the list below (select all that apply):

Not positively prepared - i.e. strategy will not meet development needs

Not justified - i.e. there is no evidence to justify the modification

Not effective - i.e. it won't work

Not consistent with national policy - i.e. doesn't comply with the law

Q24

Please set out the reasoning behind your support or objection: Please note there is a 1000 character limit, therefore if your reason for support or objection is longer than this, please summarise the main issues raised.

The chipping away of green belt boundaries surrounding various schools and other sporting grounds runs the danger of future urban sprawl. Just because these areas are currently 'open', it does not follow that they will remain so. Indeed, we already know of St Peter's plans to develop their land. Hardly conducive to 'open space' in relation to changing the greenbelt boundary. I'm all for consistency, but if the original decision to modify a green belt boundary next to a school is flawed - applying consistency to other locations is just exacerbating a wrong decision. Green belt boundaries should only be changed in 'exceptional circumstances'.

Powered by  SurveyMonkey

Check out our [sample surveys](#) and [create your own now!](#)