



City of York Local Plan Modifications Consultation 2023

QUESTION SUMMARIES

DATA TRENDS

INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

All Pages ▼

Respondent #312 ▼



INCOMPLETE

Started: Sunday, March 26, 2023 10:12:48 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, March 26, 2023 11:43:00 PM
Time Spent: 01:30:11
IP Address: 90.247.143.38

Page 1: Survey Information

Q1

Do you confirm that you have read and understood the privacy notice? You must select 'Yes' in order to take the survey.

Yes

Page 2: Register for consultation

Q2

Your name:

Catherine Kingston

Q3

Contact details: Please provide email and/or address

Address

City/town

Post code

Email address

Q4

Do you wish to be notified when the City of York Local Plan is adopted by the Council? If yes we will use contact details provided above

Yes

Page 3: Your response

Q5

To which consultation document does this response relate? Please note, links shown beside each option are for associated documents.

Proposed Policy Map Modifications - link

Share Link

COPY

459 responses



SIGN UP FREE



Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Section 2: Vision

Q7

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Section 3: Spatial Strategy

Q8

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Section 4: Economy and Retail

Q9

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8: Section 5: Housing

Q10

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Section 6: Health and Wellbeing

Q11

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Section 7: Education

Q12

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Section 8: Placemaking, Heritage, Design and Culture

Q13

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Section 9: Green Infrastructure

Q14

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

Page 13: Section 10: Managing Development in the Green Belt

Q15

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 14: Section 11: Climate Change

Q16

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 15: Section 12: Environmental Quality and Flood Risk

Q17

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 16: Section 14: Transport and Communications

Q18

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 17: Section 15: Delivery and Monitoring

Q19

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 18: Proposed Policy Map Modifications

Q20

To which modification does this response relate?

PMM18 - St. Peter's School (Policies Map North) link

Page 19: New evidence documents

Q21

To which evidence document does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 20: Comment Form

Q22

Do you support or object to the proposed modification(s)?

Object

Q23

If you object, please select your reason from the list below (select all that apply):

Not positively prepared - i.e. strategy will not meet development needs

Not justified - i.e. there is no evidence to justify the modification

Not consistent with national policy - i.e. doesn't comply with the law

Q24

Please set out the reasoning behind your support or objection:Please note there is a 1000 character limit, therefore if your reason for support or objection is longer than this, please summarise the main issues raised.

Respondent skipped this question

Powered by  SurveyMonkey

Check out our [sample surveys](#) and [create your own now!](#)

NPPF requires mitigation of and adaption to climate change. PMM18 fields are flood zone 3 and flooded with groundwater after extreme weather event in 2015. Any development removing the grass which currently absorbs water will exacerbate the flood risk. EA say subsoil and rock already saturated so any development will increase flood risk to local area. Many trees planted to mitigate prior development enhance the green belt and contribute to flora and fauna biodiversity and flood risk reduction are at risk of removal destroying habitat and the special character of the area going against the NPPF, this is not consistent with sustainable development and is not positively prepared. PMM18 is not justified or consistent with national policy. Adjusting the green belt boundary impacts the natural open landscape and character of the site narrowing the extant green wedge that is such an important asset to the human and wildlife inhabitants of this historic town. This openness is an important amenity enjoyed by many within close proximity to the city centre and extending from Bootham conservation area to link with Rawcliffe Ings a SSSI and bastion of the Tansy beetle. Removal of PMM18 land from GB reduces planning constraints on development and risks increasing urban sprawl, light pollution and increased car movements and reducing the open character with the views of the Minster permanently. In the 2021 iteration of the Local Plan and subsequent hearings, the council were keen to preserve this land open within the Green Belt but appear to have bowed to pressure and done a U turn, no longer protecting the special character of the area as set out in the NPPF that the Local plan should follow.