

Community Infrastructure Levy Consultation 2023

QUESTION SUMMARIES

DATA TRENDS

INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

All Pages –

Respondent #42 –

“

”

COMPLETE

Started: Friday, March 24, 2023 1:58:38 PM

Last Modified: Friday, March 24, 2023 2:11:22 PM

Time Spent: 00:12:43

IP Address:



Page 1: Survey Information

Q1

Do you confirm that you have read and understood the privacy notice? You must select 'Yes' in order to take the survey.

Yes

Page 2: Register for consultation

Q2

Your name:

Graham Mitchell

Contact details:

Organisation (optional) Joseph Rowntree Theatre Ltd

Address



City/town



Post code



Email address



Q4

Do you wish to notified of future updates to CIL by the council? If yes we will use contact details provided above

Yes

Q5

Do you wish to participate in the CIL examination? If yes we will use contact details provided above

No

Page 3: Your response

Q6

1a. The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Viability Study informed the production of the proposed rates in the draft CIL Charging Schedule. Do you have any comments on the content of the CIL Viability Study?

No

Q8

2a. Do the proposed levy rates set out in the draft CIL Charging Schedule appropriately reflect the conclusions of the CIL Viability Study?

I believe so

Q10

3a. Do the proposed levy rates set out in the draft CIL Charging Schedule provide an appropriate balance between securing infrastructure investment and supporting the financial viability of new development in the area?

I believe so

Q12

4a. CIL rates should not be set at a level which could render new development financially unviable. To ensure the financial viability of new development in the area, and to take into account variations in land prices and development costs throughout the authority's area, the draft CIL Charging Schedule proposes variable rates for different kinds of development. Do you have any comments on the proposed CIL rates?

No

Q14

5a. Should any types of development be charged a different CIL rate, and if so, why? Where alternative rates are proposed, please provide evidence to demonstrate why a proposed rate should be changed.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Your response

Q16

6a. To support the financial viability of new development in the area, the draft CIL Charging Schedule includes an Instalments Policy which allows specified levels of levy charges to be paid in instalments over a set period of time. Do you have any comments on the draft Instalments Policy?

No

Q18

7a. Part 6 of the CIL Regulations (as amended) allows the Council to give discretionary relief for certain types of development from paying the levy. The Council has not identified any types of development which may require this beyond the compulsory relief and exemptions outlined in the Regulations. Is there a need to provide discretionary relief from the levy to any types of

development, and if so, why?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20

8a. Do you have any other comments on the draft CIL Charging Schedule?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22

9a. Do you have any other comments on the CIL evidence base?

'Strategic policies should set out an overall strategy for the pattern, scale and design quality of places, and make sufficient provision for: (a) housing (including affordable housing), employment, retail, leisure and other commercial development; (b) infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, security, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat); (c) community facilities (such as health, education and cultural infrastructure); and (d) conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment, including landscapes and green infrastructure, and planning measures to address climate change mitigation and adaptation' Yet in section 3 of 'Infrastructure Funding Requirements' there is no reference to any funding requirements for cultural infrastructure. The statement accompanying table 1 'indicates the full level of funding required for infrastructure within the authority, other funding streams may also contribute. The table simply shows items to which CIL could contribute and where there is as yet no other confirmed funding to fully cover their costs.' This would suggest that the Local Authority believes that there is no funding gap for cultural infrastructure within the City of York or that the City of York Council does not believe that CIL payments should be used for such a purpose which is at odds with NPPF guidance as quoted by the council themselves. Clearly the former is not correct as although York has a very vibrant and thriving independent cultural sector on which the city's visitor economy is predominantly based, the city's Culture Strategy (2020-2025) 'York's Creative Future' approved by the Council Executive has a key outcome to 'secure new funding to support culture'. The Community Infrastructure Levy could be a key component in enhancing the cultural infrastructure in the city creating opportunities for the Council to deliver its ambitions set out in the Draft Local Plan with regards to Cultural Provision and Cultural Wellbeing as set out in Policy D3 and other areas. We would strongly encourage the Council to include within the CIL Evidence Base and Infrastructure Funding Gap an assessment of the needs of the city's Cultural Infrastructure alongside the other forms of infrastructure that is covered. This would allow the Council to effectively consider how it can utilise the Community Infrastructure Levy to support Culture as one of the key infrastructure elements of the future of York.

Powered by  SurveyMonkey

Check out our [sample surveys](#) and [create your own now!](#)